## In the Matter Of:

## US BANK vs ESLAHI

09-CA-032471

## **TRIAL**

November 13, 2018



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT FOR HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA CIRCUIT CIVIL DIVISION CASE NO.: 09-CA-032471

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MAHNAZ ESLAHI; FAIRCLOTH ESTATES HOA, INC.,

Defendant.

/

Trial before the Honorable Judge Perry A. Little

November 13, 2018 1:39 p.m. - 3:26 p.m.

Hillsborough County Courthouse 800 East Twiggs Street, Courtroom 409 Tampa, Florida 33602

REPORTED BY:
JULIE JOHNSON
Notary Public
State of Florida at Large
Esquire Deposition Solutions - Tampa, Florida
813-221-2535 (800-838-2814)
Job No.: J3553346



1	APPEARANCES:
2	MS. LAUREN HEGGESTAD, ESQUIRE Albertelli Law
3	P.O. Box 23028  Tampa, Florida 33623
4	Counsel for Plaintiff
5	
6	MR. RYAN CHRISTOPHER TORRENS, ESQUIRE
7	Torrens Law Group, P.A. 4016 Henderson Boulevard, Suite D
8	Tampa, Florida 33629
9	Counsel for Defendant
10	
11	INDEX
12	PAGE
13	Proceeding 4
14	
15	Direct Examination of BRYAN HEIFNER 6
16	Voir Dire of BRYAN HEIFNER 12
17	Voir Dire of BRYAN HEIFNER 14
18	Direct Examination of BRYAN HEIFNER (Cont.) 16
19	Voir Dire of BRYAN HEIFNER 17
20	Direct Examination of BRYAN HEIFNER (Cont.) 19
21	Voir Dire of BRYAN HEIFNER 29
22	Direct Examination of BRYAN HEIFNER (Cont.) 33
23	Cross-Examination of BRYAN HEIFNER 40
24	Re-Direct Examination of BRYAN HEIFNER 54
25	Certificate of Reporter



## TRIAL US BANK vs ESLAHI

1	EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION	
2	NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	
4	1 Photocopy of Encore Crecit Corp. Note	10
5	2 Acquisition Screen Shot	16
6	3 Loan Purchase Agreement	21
7	4 Photocopy of Recorded Mortgage	23
8	5 Photocopy of Breach Letter	24
9	6 Notes Log Screen Shot	26
LO	7 Loan Payment History	28
L1	8 Judgment Figure Documents	36
L2	** (The above-referenced were retained by the Court not attached to this transcript.)	and are
L3	not accadined to this transcript.	
L4		
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1	PROCEEDING
2	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
3	MR. TORRENS. Good afternoon, Judge.
4	THE COURT: You may be seated. Okay. Are we ready
5	to proceed in the matter of U.S. Bank National Association
6	versus Faircloth Estates Homeowner's Association and
7	Mr. Eslahi
8	MR. TORRENS: Eslahi, and Mrs. Eslahi and
9	Mr. Barakat.
10	THE COURT: Okay. All right. I got it close.
11	MR. TORRENS: You were close. It took me a while
12	to get it down too.
13	THE COURT: Yeah. Okay then. I notice they're not
14	present? They're not going to be here?
15	MR. TORRENS: No, Your Honor. They weren't placed
16	under subpoena or anything, no, sir.
17	THE COURT: Okay. All right. You ready to
18	proceed?
19	MS. HEGGESTAD: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right. At this time, I'm going to
21	ask your witness to please stand and raise your right hand
22	and I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm that any
23	testimony or statements you give today will be the truth?
24	THE WITNESS: I do.
25	THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.



1	Do you care to make an opening statement, either side or
2	MS. HEGGESTAD: Briefly, Your Honor,
3	Lauren Heggestad on behalf of the plaintiff. I just wanted
4	to point out to the Court that this was filed as a two-count
5	foreclosure
6	THE COURT: Right.
7	MS. HEGGESTAD: the Court has already granted
8	summary judgment as to count two
9	THE COURT: And this is the
10	MS. HEGGESTAD: The equitable lien count. I have a
11	copy of the order if Your Honor wishes to review it. So we
12	will be proceeding today only on the first count, the
13	foreclosure count, as the second count has already been
14	resolved.
15	THE COURT: Okay. All right. You may proceed.
16	MR. TORRENS: I could make a brief opening,
17	Your Honor, if you want to just do a brief
18	THE COURT: If you care to.
19	MR. TORRENS: Sure. Your Honor, in this case,
20	we're going to show that plaintiff simply cannot prove
21	standing to foreclose, and I think at the close of
22	plaintiff's evidence that will be abundantly clear, and,
23	accordingly, a judgment should be entered for the defendants
24	in this case, and that's all I would say from my
25	THE COURT: Okay. All right. You may proceed.



1 MS. HEGGESTAD: The defendant calls Bryan Heifner. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Heifner, maybe you want to have a seat there, sir, and bring whatever notes you want to bring 3 4 with you. It's up to you. 5 THE WITNESS: I think I'm good. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to spell your name 7 for the court reporter? 8 THE WITNESS: Bryan, B-R-Y-A-N, Heifner, 9 H-E-I-F-N-E-R. 10 THE COURT: H-E-I-F --11 THE WITNESS: N-E-R. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 THEREUPON: 14 BRYAN HEIFNER, 15 a witness, having been previously sworn by the Court, 16 testified as follows: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. HEGGESTAD: 19 0. Mr. Heifner, who is it that you work for? 20 U.S. Bank National Association. Α. And how long have you worked for U.S. Bank? 21 0. 22 Α. Since March of 2011. 23 And what is your current job title? 0. 24 I am a corporate representative for our default and Α. 25 foreclosure departments.



- Q. And can you generally explain some of your duties in that position?
  - A. I review our business records in preparation for any litigations, mediations, trials, depositions, advocacy for home saving modification options for the bank at this time.
  - Q. As part of your job function, are you familiar with the business records and practices of U.S. Bank?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And is it part of your job duties to be familiar with defaulted loans in the cases in which you provide testimony?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And are you familiar with the record keeping systems at U.S. Bank?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And what are those servicing platforms or record keeping systems that U.S. Bank has?
  - A. We service the loans through a main portal or LPS, and that system we also use to image copies of documents, take notes, payment histories, all that information is housed in that system, and then we communicate with our attorneys through a similar system set up the same way by the same company. Most of our systems are built around the LPS platform.



- Q. And do you have access to these systems?
- 2 A. Yes.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And have you received training on these systems?
- 4 A. Yes.
  - Q. And does U.S. Bank regularly keep their records in these systems?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. All right. Are you familiar with the Mahnaz Eslahi mortgage loan account?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. And when did you first become familiar with this loan?
    - A. It's been a couple of years, in preparation for a deposition maybe a year ago or a year and a half. I've been working and monitoring this file since a month probably prior to the deposition that took place.
      - Q. And what did you review in preparation for today?
    - A. I went ahead and reviewed all my notes that I've previously taken, as well as anything that has transpired since the deposition. I -- in preparation for the deposition, I reviewed copies of the note, the mortgage, collections notes, loss mitigation notes, payment histories, any -- the complaint that was filed and the answers that were filed. Anything related to the file.
      - Q. In -- in review of those notes, is this loan in



1	default?
2	A. Yes.
3	MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, the original note was
4	filed with the Court on March 3rd of 2015. I don't know if
5	the Court you have it. Perfect. If I may approach?
6	MR. TORRENS: And if I can take just a quick look
7	at that? I guess it's in here.
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MS. HEGGESTAD: I have and I'll defer to the
10	Court on this. What I'd like to do is leave the original in
11	the court file and proceed with a copy, if that's okay with
12	you, and let the Court take judicial notice of the original?
13	MR. TORRENS: That's fine. I don't intend to
14	object to the note and mortgage coming in anyways.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, I'll bring the original
17	back up to the Court.
18	MR. TORRENS: One thing I didn't check, is the
19	original mortgage in there, as well?
20	MS. HEGGESTAD: No. I have a certified copy of the
21	mortgage.
22	MR. TORRENS: Oh, okay.
23	THE COURT: I thought I saw what is it, the
24	assignment of the mortgage here
25	MR. TORRENS: Could I see the certified copy of the



1	mortgage?	
L	mortgage?	

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 MS. HEGGESTAD: Sure.

what this document is?

3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 was marked for

identification.) 4

- (By Ms. Heggestad) All right. I'm going to approach the witness with what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1. And can you identify for the record
- Α. This is a copy of the note.

MS. HEGGESTAD: Well, Your Honor, we move to admit the copy of the note into evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 without objection.

MR. TORRENS: No objection.

THE COURT: It's admitted.

- (By Ms. Heggestad) All right. So identifying the Ο. copy of that note, can you describe the note for me and tell me who the originating lender is?
- The originating lender was Encore Credit Corp. Α. It's a California corporation doing business as ECC Credit -- Credit Corporation of Florida.
- And can you tell me the individual -- the 0. individuals' names that purport to have executed the note?
  - Mahnaz Eslahi. Α.
- And does this note bear an endorsement of any kind? 0.
- 25 Α. Yes.



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

- Q. And what is that endorsement?

  A. From ECC, Encore Credit Corporation of Florida to blank.

  MR. TORRENS: And, Your Honor, just so the record
  - MR. TORRENS: And, Your Honor, just so the record is clear, I just want the record to reflect that the original note that I looked at in the court file has that endorsement on the back of the very last page, not in the front, as I think is on the copy. So I just wanted the record to -- MS. HEGGESTAD: Right. It's a photocopy. We
  - MS. HEGGESTAD: Right. It's a photocopy. We didn't print back and front. That is correct. The endorsement is on the back of the last page.
  - Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) Now, have you reviewed a copy of this note in your case management system?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And is this the same copy you reviewed in your case management system?
- 17 A. Yes.
  - Q. Now, going to that endorsement on the note, is it U.S. Bank's policy to place endorsements for other entities?
    - A. No.
  - Q. So when U.S. Bank received this note, that endorsement was contained on the -- on the note?
- 23 A. Yes.
- MR. TORRENS: Objection. Lack of knowledge,
  hearsay. Your Honor, if I may have a couple questions?



1	THE COURT: Sure.
2	VOIR DIRE
3	BY MR. TORRENS:
4	Q. So you just testified that when this note was
5	received by U.S. Bank that that endorsement was there,
6	correct?
7	A. I testified in regards to our our policy and
8	procedures in regards to endorsements on notes.
9	Q. Okay. So the testimony wasn't about this note
10	specifically?
11	A. It was in regards to our policy and relating to
12	them when we're purchasing a note a loan, what would take
13	place if the loan came to us without proper endorsements, it
14	would not have been purchased.
15	Q. Okay. So for this note, was it at any point
16	scanned into a computer system?
17	A. The copy of note was scanned into our system, yes.
18	Q. Okay. And and when was this note scanned into
19	the computer system?
20	A. It would have probably it could have been
21	scanned many times, and also copies of it could be viewed
22	from the transmittal. If I am viewing the origination file,
23	I review the file that the original lender would have scanned

to us during the process of underwriting the file. Then the

note was scanned prior to receiving it and after receiving it



24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- with the endorsement, as well. There was multiple scans of the file in the system. It's been in default for some time with the foreclosure pending.
- Q. Okay. So can you tell when this note was originally scanned into the computer system and what computer system that is?
- A. It would have been scanned by the original lender prior to us receiving it or acquiring file. We acquired the file June 30th of 2006, and without being on our system, I can't say exactly what date it was scanned. It would have been during that process of it going through quality checks.
- Q. Okay. So you can't testify on what date this note was originally scanned into the computer system for this?
- A. No, it would have been scanned likely multiple times at different times throughout its servicing life.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you.
- THE COURT: Let me just for point of clarification,

  I thought you said it wasn't actually -- when was the first

  time it showed up as having been scanned into, I guess,

  U.S. Bank's system?
- THE WITNESS: The loan closed on June -- the loan is dated June 1st, 2006. U.S. Bank purchased and acquired the loan 30 days afterwards.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. Like, around June 30th --
- 25 THE WITNESS: I reviewed -- I see -- we get the



1	origination file, as well, so I can see, like, a copy of that
2	that was provided by the previous by the original lender,
3	and then I can see copies of the note with the endorsement
4	after that. So there's multiple copies of the note in our
5	system, and sometimes the same copy is in our system more
6	than once.
7	THE COURT: Let me ask you this for point of
8	clarification, the first time you saw it was on or about
9	when?
10	THE WITNESS: The first time
11	THE COURT: That you saw the scanned the note
12	that had it scanned into U.S. Bank's system was on or about
13	what date?
14	THE WITNESS: We have I do I can say for sure
15	that one of our our system will show that we have copies
16	of the note scanned in June of 2006.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MR. TORRENS: Objection. Hearsay. May I ask him
19	some follow-up?
20	THE COURT: Sure.
21	VOIR DIRE
22	BY MR. TORRENS:
23	Q. Okay. So you just testified that the note was
24	scanned into the system in June of 2006; is that right?

There are copies in 2006, June -- the end of 2006.



A.

- I can't -- but I won't testify which exact day. I don't recall which day. The time stamp in the system, I would click a link that's dated when this file was created, and then I can view what was included in that file.
  - Q. Okay. And were you working for U.S. Bank in 2006?
  - A. I was not.
    - Q. You started working for U.S. Bank in 2011?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. Now, I need those records that would reflect this note being initially scanned in in 2006. Were any of those records brought to court today?
- A. I can't bring a hyperlink in a computer that I click a file. The hyperlink is actually the date --
- MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor --
  - MR. TORRENS: Objection. Your Honor, it's hearsay. He's testifying as to these things. There's no record evidence that it was scanned in in 2006. He's testifying off of this computer system.
  - No screen shots were shown, were brought today to show that the loan -- or that the note was initially scanned in in 2006, and he's sitting on the stand testifying as to these things happening with no record evidence to substantiate it. It's hearsay and his testimony as to -- in that regard should be stricken.
    - MS. HEGGESTAD: Judge, I will submit additional



1 evidence that may help along with this --2 THE COURT: So to his point, then I will sustain 3 his objection --4 MS. HEGGESTAD: Okay. THE COURT: -- at this point and come back to it on 5 the issue of when it was scanned, is the issue at this point. 6 7 MS. HEGGESTAD: Judge, here's Exhibit 1, if you 8 want to mark that exhibit. (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 was marked for 9 identification.) 10 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 12 BY MS. HEGGESTAD: 13 I'm now showing you what's been identified as 0. 14 Plaintiff's Exhibit 2. Can you identify this document? 15 This is a screen shot of our system of the Α. 16 acquisition information. 17 And where have you seen this document before? 0. 18 We -- this -- this servicing page is on every loan Α. 19 that we have that identifies acquisition information on each 20 loan. And is this record kept in the ordinary course of 21 Ο. 22 U.S. Bank's business? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Is it the regular practice of U.S. Bank to maintain 0.



a record such as this?

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Have you been trained to research and interpret these records?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And is one of the responsibilities of your job to research and interpret this record?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And was this record made by an individual with the responsibility to enter data accurately and contemporaneously of the events recorded?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And was this record made by or from information transmitted by a person with knowledge of that event?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, at this time, we would like to move the acquisition screen shot into evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.
- THE COURT: Have you seen it?
- MR. TORRENS: Yes, I've seen it. Your Honor, the objection is hearsay. If I may have a brief follow-up on this one?
- 22 THE COURT: Sure.
- 23 VOIR DIRE
- 24 BY MR. TORRENS:
- 25 Q. So this -- what computer system was it that



- produces this -- I guess we'll call it the acquisition screen shot?
- 3 A. Yes.

12

15

16

19

- Q. What computer system was it?
- 5 A. Our lending portal system, LPS also known as.
- Q. LPS. Okay. And when was the first time that you reviewed this particular screen shot?
- A. I -- I viewed it many times. We house this on
  every loan when it's brought into our system. This
  information is input into the system, so we -- I viewed this
  on this file any time I looked at the file and I'm working
- Q. Okay. So just give me a ballpark figure, when was the first time?

it, as well as any other file that we would service.

- A. I can't say without speculating. It would have been prior to the deposition at some point.
- Q. Prior to the deposition at some point and that was a couple years ago?
  - A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. And this is -- you testified this is a
- 21 U.S. Bank record, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you're familiar with the record keeping system?
- 25 A. Yes.



1 MR. TORRENS: Okay. Your Honor, I'll withdraw the 2 objection. It's a U.S. Bank record. 3 THE COURT: Okay. MR. TORRENS: I just wanted to clarify a couple of 4 5 things. 6 THE COURT: Off the record. 7 (Thereupon, there was a discussion off the record 8 at 1:57 p.m.) 9 THE COURT: All right. Exhibit -- back on the record. Exhibit No. 2 is admitted as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, 10 11 a screen shot, I assume, of the acquisition --12 MS. HEGGESTAD: That's correct, Judge. We labeled 13 it acquisition screen shot. THE COURT: Yeah. Do you -- has that -- has it 14 15 been marked yet --16 MS. HEGGESTAD: I'm not -- do you want me to mark 17 them as we go? What's easier? 18 THE JUDICIAL ASSISTANT: You can just hand them to 19 me as you go and then I'll just mark them, and if you need me 20 to give them back, I'll give it to you. 21 MS. HEGGESTAD: Okay. 22 THE JUDICIAL ASSISTANT: I can do it quickly. 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 24 BY MS. HEGGESTAD: 25 Q. Mr. Heifner, can you explain what this document



1 | says?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. The document will list the information that was boarded into our system when we acquired the loan. It starts with the date -- acquisition date of June 30th, 2006. It gives the principal balance at the time of acquisition. It will also indicate the type of acquisition it was, indicated by the number two, which there's -- states it was purchased, and it says that number two means purchased.

And there is a note below that would house the information in regards to the note and mortgage. In this case, it states original note held and then -- (inaudible) -- and the abbreviation --

THE COURT REPORTER: What was that, I'm sorry?

THE WITNESS: Original note held --

THE COURT REPORTER: Held?

THE WITNESS: -- H-L-D, abbreviated, and then the abbreviation N-M, as in note and mortgage.

THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. That's what I didn't understand. Thank you.

- Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) So this document, just to clarify, shows that U.S. Bank purchased this loan on June 30th of 2006; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And this document shows that the note and mortgage were held by U.S. Bank, as well; is that correct?



- A. Yes, at the time of boarding on June 30th, 2006, the original note and mortgage were held.
- Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) I'm now going to you what's been premarked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3. Can you identify what this document is?
- A. This is a loan purchase sheet from U.S. Bank in regards to the purchasing of the loan.
  - Q. And have you seen this document before?
- 11 A. I have.

- Q. Is this record kept in the ordinary course of U.S. Bank's business?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Is it the regular practice of U.S. Bank to make and maintain a record such as this?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you been trained to research and interpret this record?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Was this record made by an individual with the responsibility to enter data accurately and contemporaneously with the events recorded?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And was this record made by or from information



1 | transmitted by a person with knowledge of that event?

A. Yes.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

- MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, at this time, we would like to move Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 into evidence.
- 5 MR. TORRENS: Your Honor, it's clearly a U.S. Bank 6 record. I have no objection to that coming in.
  - THE COURT: It's admitted.
    - Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) Mr. Heifner, can you explain the purpose of this document?
    - A. This document is in regards to the purchasing of the -- of the loan from the original lender. It states the information of the loan, the loan number, borrowers' names, the due date, the purchase price, and when the date of acquisition will be.
      - Q. And what is the date of acquisition?
  - A. June 30th, 2006.
- Q. And this corresponds with the screen shot that's already been placed into evidence?
  - A. Yes. It also indicates the application date, as well.
  - Q. And this corresponds with the respective loan at issue here today, correct?
  - A. The application is going to be in regards to the bid for the purchase.
    - Q. Right. I meant the document as a whole?



MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, what I have is

3 | Exhibit 4, the mortgage, which counsel has indicated he is

4 | not objecting to.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HEGGESTAD: We can go ahead and mark this one.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4 was marked for

8 | identification.)

THE JUDICIAL ASSISTANT: Do you need three back?

MS. HEGGESTAD: No.

Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) Mr. Heifner, can you identify for the record what this document is?

A. This is a certified copy of the recorded mortgage.

THE COURT: This is Exhibit 4?

MS. HEGGESTAD: This is four, yes.

O. (By Ms. Heggestad) And is this document recorded?

A. Yes. It bears the recorded information on the first page.

Q. Can you tell me the individuals' names that executed this mortgage?

A. Mahnaz Eslahi.

Q. And does this correlate with the property address of the note that's been placed into evidence?

A. Yes.

Q. And does this mortgage provide for acceleration and



foreclosure if the borrower defaults on the note? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 MR. TORRENS: They're the same, right? MS. HEGGESTAD: I might actually have an extra 4 5 сору. 6 MR. TORRENS: Okay. Yeah, I'm not going to object 7 to that. (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 was marked for 8 identification.) 9 10 (By Ms. Heggestad) I'm showing you what's been 11 marked as Plaintiff's 5. Can you identify this document for 12 the record? 13 Α. This is a breach letter. 14 MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, counsel has indicated that he is not objecting. If we could move this into 15 16 evidence as Plaintiff's 5? 17 THE COURT: It's admitted. 18 (By Ms. Heggestad) Who was this demand letter sent 0. 19 by? 20 Α. Sent by? 21 0. Yes. 22 It was sent by U.S. Bank National Association, my Α. 23 employer. 24 0. And who was it sent to?

Mahnaz Eslahi, 15901 Dover Cliff Drive



Α.

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

- 1 in -- (inaudible) -2 Q. And -- oh, I'm sorry.
  3 THE COURT REPORTER: What did you say after that?
  4 THE WITNESS: In Lutz, Florida.
  - Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) And what does this letter advise?
  - A. This letter is -- advises that the loan is in default. It gives a time frame to cure that default, which is 30 days. It lists the amount to cure the default, remedies to cure the default.
  - O. And what was the date of this letter?
- 12 A. June 30th, 2009.
  - O. And was the default outlined in --
- 14 A. I'm sorry, July 30th, 2009.
- Q. July 30th of 2009, is the date of the letter?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 0. And was the default outlined -- (inaudible) --
- 18 A. No.
- THE COURT REPORTER: Was the what, I'm sorry?
- 20 MS. HEGGESTAD: Was the default outlined in the
- 21 | letter cured?
- 22 A. No, it was not.
- Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) What date is the loan due and owing for?
  - A. I would be able to tell you by the payment history.



- 1 From memory, I -- with all the other dates I have in my mind,
- 2 | I don't want to mess that one up.
- Q. Okay. That's fine. We'll come back to that.
- 4 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 was marked for
- 5 | identification.)
- Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) I'm showing you what's been marked for identification purposes as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6.
- 8 | Can you identify this document for the record?
- 9 A. This is a screen shot of our collection notes, loan 10 activity notes.
- 11 Q. So have you seen this record before?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And is this record kept in the ordinary course of
- 14 U.S. Bank's business?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Is it the regular practice of U.S. Bank to maintain
- 17 | a record such as this?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. As part of your job training, have you been trained to research and interpret records like this one?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And was this made by an individual with the responsibility to enter data accurately and contemporaneously with the events recorded?
- 25 A. Yes.



MR. TORRENS: No objection, Your Honor. Again,

12 | it's a U.S. Bank record.

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) Now, can you tell me the purpose of this document?
- A. This document will list any activity taking place on a loan during the time frame of this page, which covers July and early August of 2009. It's going to show the payments received, any conversations we had with the borrowers, any letters that are sent, that would be indicated in the -- the note log.
- Q. And does this document indicate any letters were sent during that time period?
- A. It indicates the letter being sent on

  July 30th, the 30-day demand letter, as well as a document

  early August in regards to the homeowner's insurance being



1 canceled.

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Okay. This letter shows the demand letter was sent; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. I am now showing you --

6 MS. HEGGESTAD: This is six in evidence. I forgot to mark it.

8 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 was marked for 9 identification.)

- Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) Can you please identify what's been premarked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 for the record?
- A. The documents I have in front of me are collectively the payment history for the loan.
- Q. And where have you seen this document before?
- A. The payment history, I view in our system. I also review the records in this format, as well. There's multiple formats here, which will show the records that have been encrypted in our encrypted drive. They're printed a little bit differently. And I view them on a daily basis.
- Q. And is this record kept in the ordinary course of U.S. Bank's business?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Is it U.S. Bank's --
- MR. TORRENS: Objection. Hearsay. Your Honor, if
  I may have a brief voir dire?



THE COURT: Sure.

3 VOIR DIRE

BY MR. TORRENS:

- Q. So the document that's been handed to you as Plaintiff's Proposed Composite Exhibit 7, I noticed that when I took a look at that, it seems like it comes from a few different computer systems. The printouts look different. Could you explain a little bit about that to me? I see the first one is called intranet, and then there's a couple other systems. Could you kind of run through that?
- A. Yeah. I did initially cover it about it looks like the different documents because as the -- after a certain date, we will purge payment histories off of our system and encrypt them in a file that we would just reference back to if we need to pull the old payment histories. So the older file is 2014 and before.

And in order for our computer system to function and service the loans properly, if you were to house a payment history for thousands of loans dating back to 2006, you wouldn't really -- the computer system wouldn't function. So in order to keep it functioning properly so we can service the loans, our computer system houses 24 months readily available for any person looking at the loan in the system.

Any older documents are or any older dates prior to



- 24 months, if they're not printed at that time, they would be housed in our encrypted files in case they need to be referenced later.
  - Q. Okay. So this first one, home mortgage intranet, I take it that is a system you're familiar with, the system U.S. Bank uses on a regular basis, right?
  - A. It's tied to LPS. There's a button I can click in LPS and it's -- I can look at any history dating back to however long it's housed in LPS.
  - Q. But are you familiar with this intranet -- this home mortgage intranet system?
  - A. Yes. I can use it on -- it's tied to the account. If I'm looking at the account on LPS, I can click that tab on the account and look up what I need to look up in regards to any file.
  - Q. Okay. So you can use the system and this is the system that employees of U.S. Bank use regularly?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Okay. And my next question is, I see if you flip through you eventually get to a series of documents called customer account activity statement. I didn't see any notations about U.S. Bank on that. What -- what computer system produced this record?
  - A. Oh, it's -- well, it states below U.S. Bank under the customer activity service workstation, same loan number.



- This is off of the LPS. This is if I'm looking at the screen and I print that --
- Q. Oh, no, no, no. I'm talking about the one when you qo past that.
  - A. Oh, the small printed ones --
- 6 Q. Here --

18

- 7 A. -- towards the end?
- 8 MR. TORRENS: If I may approach the witness?
- 9 THE COURT: Sure.
- 10 Q. (By Mr. Torrens) I think it's towards the back. I
  11 think it's after that. There you go.
- 12 A. This one right here?
- Q. Yeah, yeah. Tell me about that. What computer system produced that record?
- 15 A. This is going to be the same system as the one 16 behind it --
- 17 Q. Okay.
  - A. -- where they requested in our system to print off a certain period of time of payment history.
- Q. So these also were produced by the customer service workstation?
- A. Yes. The one -- let me check one thing really
  quick. Yes, this is all housed within the servicing of the
  loan. The ones towards the end where the print gets much
  smaller, those are the ones that are in the encrypted file.



- Q. So these -- the customer account activity
  statement, why does this look so different from all of the
  other records?
  - A. This one was likely printed at a different time.

    It looks like this one was printed --
    - Q. My copy says September 14th, 2015.
  - A. I believe that would be correct. When this one was printed, that's why there's a supplement on top, because the payment history continues to occur as we -- not that payments were received after this date, but we continue paying taxes and insurance on the property, so they're still reflected in here.
  - Q. Okay. And why is there no notations anywhere in this -- in these records that this is a U.S. Bank record?
    - A. On this file right -- on this page you have here?
    - O. Correct.
  - A. It references the same loan number that's tied to the account, as well as the borrowers' name --
  - Q. Oh, no, I -- yeah. No, I know that. I'm asking why is there no indication that it's a U.S. Bank record like the others?
  - A. Because the employee that's viewing it knows they're viewing it on U.S. Bank's system. This is just printed from that system. This isn't what goes to the borrower. This is just a record that we would house in



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

- servicing our loans. So assuming that they're in one of our secure facilities and looking at it on our computers, they wouldn't need to see that it's U.S. Bank.
  - Q. Okay. And what system is that?
  - A. All of our loan servicing is LPS.
  - Q. So this was produced by LPS?
  - A. Yes. All this is created and made by LPS except for what we have to purge off the system after 24 months. Some of these are printed at different dates because we -- as the case has gone on since, was it nine -- 12 --
    - O. Nine.
  - A. -- whenever the case started, we've had to continuously add more as those payment histories become available. So that's why you would see a different date, such as this one was printed and provided in September of 2015, and the more current ones, which show the most up-to-date payment history dating into October of 2018.
  - MR. TORRENS: Okay. Your Honor, I think that is sufficient. I'll withdraw the objection.
- THE COURT: Okay. The payment history is admitted.

  DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
- 22 BY MS. HEGGESTAD:
  - Q. In reviewing this payment history, what date is this loan due and owing for?
    - A. May 1st, 2009.



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So no payment has been received on this loan after May 1st of 2009?
  - A. The loan is currently due for that payment. I can tell you when the last payment was received. That is correct, no payments have been received after --

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

THE WITNESS: No payments have been received since May 1st, 2009.

- Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) And does this payment history contain records of all payments that were made on this loan?
- A. Yes, all payments that were made and applied to a loan would be contained in this payment history.
- Q. And in review of this composite payment history, do you know what the principal amount is due on this loan?
- A. Yes, it's stated on the -- \$373,438.47 is the current principal balance.
- Q. This loan is currently due and owing for the March 1st payment of 2009, and all subsequent payments? Is that what the payment history shows?
  - A. Yes, May 1st, 2009.
- Q. And did the plaintiff advance any other funds on behalf of the borrower according to the payment history?
- A. Yes, we continue paying other expenses in regards to the property, such as taxes and insurance.
  - MR. TORRENS: Your Honor, I'm going to have to



1	object to this being admitted into evidence. I wasn't
2	provided that in advance as an exhibit. I received
3	everything else, but that's a pretty thick stack of financial
4	data that I didn't receive.
5	Additionally, it looks like there's summaries in
6	there, as well, and I didn't receive any notice of intent to
7	use summaries. They're asking for a very significant sum of
8	money for hazard insurance and for other amounts that are
9	contained in there. I haven't had an opportunity to review
10	those records. And so since it wasn't disclosed, I would ask
11	that that be excluded.
12	MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor
13	THE COURT: Response?
14	MS. HEGGESTAD: I don't (inaudible)
15	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm
16	MS. HEGGESTAD: showing what was disclosed.
17	However, these the information contained in this also
18	corresponds with the payment history that's been disclosed
19	multiple times. I believe this was produced at the
20	deposition, but I'm not sure. I was not at the deposition.
21	But we would have usually in preparation would bring the
22	judgment figures. This has the detailed breakdown for hazard
23	insurance and
24	THE COURT: The payment history does?

MS. HEGGESTAD: I have the -- these have, like, the



screen shots. The payment history, I believe, has summaries, 1 2 if -- if that's correct? 3 THE WITNESS: Do you want me to speak in regards to The payment history will show each disbursement on each 4 5 item in regards to taxes and insurance or anything that was 6 made in regards to the loan. 7 MR. TORRENS: So I don't -- I don't know why this 8 would really be needed anyway if the pay history contains all 9 of the --THE COURT: You have an objection based on the fact 10 that she -- he's indicated that the payment history has all 11 12 of those, I guess, expenditures that have occurred over the 13 life of the loan. What -- what's the need for that? If you 14 want to -- why would you need to have that? 15 MS. HEGGESTAD: I mean, Your Honor, I don't need 16 it. However, it's an easier breakdown for the Court to see 17 each --18 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm going to 19 overrule the objection. 20 MR. TORRENS: Okay. Thank you, Judge. 21 THE COURT: Sure. 22 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 8 was marked for 23 identification.) 24 0. (By Ms. Heggestad) I'm showing you what's been

marked for identification purposes as Plaintiff's Exhibit 8.



- 1 | Can you identify what this document is for the record?
- A. These documents would make up the judgment figures,
  which would contain information in regards to what we'd be
  seeking in regards to a judgment for foreclosure.
  - Q. And is this record kept in the ordinary course of U.S. Bank's business?
    - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

- Q. Is it the regular practice of U.S. Bank to maintain a record such as this?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Have you been trained to research and interpret a record like that?
- 13 A. Yes.
  - Q. And was this record made by an individual with responsibility to enter data accurately and contemporaneously with the events recorded?
- 17 A. Yes.
  - Q. And was this record made by or from information transmitted by a person with knowledge of that event?
- 20 A. Yes.
- MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, at this time, we'd like to move this document into evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 8.
- MR. TORRENS: Your Honor, I know that you overruled my prior objection, but just for purposes of the record I



- 1 | would renew the objection on the basis that it wasn't
- 2 | disclosed pursuant to your -- to the Court's trial order.
- 3 And, additionally, there's a summary contained in there and
- 4 | notice wasn't provided to me as required by the statute for
- 5 statistical summaries. And so on those grounds I would
- 6 object to the admission of that document.
- 7 THE COURT: The Court ruled, yes. Now, my ruling
- 8 was based on the fact that the payment history apparently has
- 9 | all of those entries that are referred to in Exhibit 8, and I
- 10 | think you have the payment history?
- 11 MR. TORRENS: That's correct, Judge, I do have the
- 12 payment history. That was eight, right?
- 13 THE COURT: Yes.
- MS. HAGGESTAD: Yes.
- MR. TORRENS: Okay.
- 16 Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) You previously testified that
- 17 | the principal due and owing on this loan is \$373,438.47; is
- 18 | that correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Is there any interest due on this loan?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And what is the current interest that is due and
- 23 owing?
- 24 A. Interest due through today -- or actually this is
- 25 | interest through November 1st, 2018, at \$300,260.40.



1	THE COURT: I'm sorry, 300
2	THE WITNESS: \$300,260.40.
3	Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) Were there any other payments,
4	such as taxes due on this loan or advanced on behalf of this
5	loan?
6	A. Yes, the taxes totaled at \$45,867.
7	THE COURT: Even?
8	THE WITNESS: Even.
9	A. And the homeowner's insurance or hazard insurance,
LO	\$52,167.81.
L1	Q. (By Ms. Heggestad) And were there any other
L2	property preservation or other fees advanced on this loan by
L3	U.S. Bank?
L4	A. Yes.
L5	Q. And what are those amounts?
L6	A. Property preservation, \$920.
L7	Q. And what is the total amount that plaintiff is
L8	seeking today?
L9	A. The total amount is \$774,752.68.
20	Q. I have no further questions at this time.
21	MR. TORRENS: Your Honor, just a few questions on
22	cross.
23	THE COURT: Sure. Okay.
24	



## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. TORRENS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Just a couple questions for you. Regarding the promissory note, when the original complaint -- you said that you had the opportunity to review the pleadings. When the original complaint was filed in this case, was the promissory note attached?
- A. From my review of the original complaint, it was not. There was information in regards to the note, but the note was not attached to the original complaint.
- Q. Okay. And it's my understanding that an amended complaint was eventually filed attaching the note in this case; isn't that correct?
  - A. To my knowledge, yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall when that original complaint -- or when that amended complaint was filed?
- A. I do not recall the date when the amended complaint was filed. I do not.
  - Q. Okay. If I say it was filed in 2014, would that jog your memory at all?
- A. I -- I didn't memorize dates on any filings. I
  mostly focused on our business records, so it's possible, but
  I --
- 24 Q. Okay.
  - A. -- the document I'm assuming would reflect that.



Q. And since you testified that you had an opportunity
to review the pleadings, I'm going to show you a document,
which is an affidavit that was filed in this case previously
by the plaintiff.

MR. TORRENS: If I may approach, Your Honor?

MS. HEGGESTAD: Ryan, can I see that?

MR. TORRENS: Oh, sure. It's the affidavit in support of our motion for summary judgment.

MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, I'd object to this being shown to the witness for a number of reasons. One, there was no witness and exhibit list filed by defendants --

THE COURT: I'm sorry --

MR. TORRENS: That's not correct. There was.

MS. HEGGESTAD: There was no witness and exhibit list filed by the defendants for this trial. You can read the docket and you'll see that there was no witness list filed for this trial, the trial set to occur today.

And, secondly, I was not provided any exhibits that counsel intended on proceeding with. Lastly, we're in my case in chief. This is outside the scope of what I placed into evidence.

MR. TORRENS: Your Honor, we did file a witness and exhibit list, which has remained unchanged. This trial got continued, I think, several times at this point. Nothing changed, and so I didn't feel the need to file the same thing



1	all over again. On that witness and exhibit list, we do
2	include any affidavits and any documents attached to any
3	affidavits.
4	This is the plaintiff just a moment ago admitted
5	documents that haven't been produced to me, including
6	summaries, and I wasn't provided any notice. This is an
7	affidavit that was filed in 2011 or, I'm sorry, 2010 by
8	the plaintiff, by U.S. Bank, signed under penalty of perjury
9	by U.S. Bank, filed about five months after this lawsuit was
LO	filed, where they testify under oath in this affidavit that
L1	they can't find the promissory note.
L2	THE COURT: (Inaudible) can print it
L3	MR. TORRENS: I was just going to ask the Court to
L4	take notice. I wasn't even going to introduce it as a formal
L5	exhibit.
L6	THE COURT: Your objection, ma'am, is overruled,
L7	okay? And you may ask him a question about it.
L8	MR. TORRENS: Thank you, Your Honor.
L9	THE COURT: Sure.
20	MR. TORRENS: Will the Court take judicial notice
21	of the affidavit?
22	THE COURT: It's in the court file?
23	MR. TORRENS: Yes. Thank you, Judge. May I
24	approach the witness?



THE COURT: Sure.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but --

- US BANK vs ESLAHI (By Mr. Torrens) Okay. Sir, I'm handing you an 1 0. 2 affidavit that was filed by U.S. Bank in 2010 in this case. 3 As a part of your review of the pleadings, did you review 4 this document? 5 I have not seen this document prior to today. Α. 6 Okay. Why don't you take a moment or two to review 0. 7 that document and tell us what it is and what it says. 8 THE COURT: Do you want to direct him to the 9 relevant pages so he knows where to look? 10 MR. TORRENS: Sure. 11 THE COURT: I'm not sure how many pages it is,
- MR. TORRENS: Yes, it's just the affidavit part,
  which I think is two or three pages.
  - Q. (By Mr. Torrens) If you could just take a quick review of that and let us know what U.S. Bank is stating under oath in that affidavit.
  - MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, just for the record, I want to object to him testifying from a document that has not been placed into evidence.
  - MR. TORRENS: Your Honor, the Court has already taken judicial notice. I'm just asking him to advise us of what it says. Otherwise, I can just go off of it in arguments later, but --
    - THE COURT: He asked me to take judicial notice of



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 it and I can. It's in the court file. And I think he's
2 indicated that he's given it to you before.

MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor --

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  TORRENS: We -- we mentioned any affidavits and any attachments --

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. TORRENS: -- in our exhibit list, and this is a document obviously under oath by the plaintiff, so -- and it's already in the court file. It's been there for years. And it's directly contrary to some of the prior testimony that was offered by the plaintiff.

MS. HEGGESTAD: Just so the record is clear, it was not provided to me previously.

THE COURT: Okay. But it's in the court file?

MS. HEGGESTAD: That is correct, Judge.

THE COURT: All right, ma'am -- or not ma'am, sir, you can take a look at it, please, and see if you understand it and what it says.

- A. I mean, this is an affidavit in support of a motion for final summary judgment. I do not have a law degree, so I can read it verbatim, but I can't make any speculations.
- Q. (By Mr. Torrens) Okay. And in -- in that affidavit, is U.S. Bank stating that it held the original promissory note, or is it stating that the original promissory note was lost as of May of 2010?



- A. I'll reference paragraph 7 of this
- 2 | document. It's -- to summarize, it states that
- 3 U.S. Bank National Association was the owner and holder.
- 4 | It gives the borrower's name, the date of the loan,
- 5 July -- June 1st, 2006, when the mortgage was recorded, as
- 6 | well as book and page number and county.
- 7 It states -- it's referencing copies of these
- 8 documents being attached to this motion -- or affidavit.
- 9 It's -- it goes on to state that the original note was lost
- 10 and is not in the custody or control of the plaintiff.
- 11 | However, the plaintiff and/or servicer was in possession of
- 12 | the note and was entitled to enforcement of it when the loss
- 13 occurred. It goes on to state balances at this time in
- 14 regards to the principal balance, interest.
- Q. Okay. And could you refer to the front of it and
- 16 just let us know the date that -- the date that that was
- 17 | filed?
- 18 A. Well, I will say that it was signed on
- 19 | May 5th, 2010, and it looks like this is May 12th, 2010, a
- 20 | filing stamp.
- 21 Q. Okay. Thank you. Okay. Now, would you just
- 22 | quickly review the promissory note attached to that affidavit
- 23 and let us know if it contains that endorsement in blank?
- 24 A. It does not appear that the document I'm holding
- 25 | has copies of the back of the pages, so with that endorsement



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- being on the back of the page of the note, it's not in this
  affidavit --
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. -- or the affidavit in support of the motion for final summary judgment.
    - Q. Okay. Thank you.
    - A. I'm just seeing the fronts of the pages.

MR. TORRENS: And, Your Honor, as to the noticed document, does Your Honor prefer to have this marked as a document that was judicially noticed, or me just state for the record when it was filed, that the Court's taken notice? Does Your Honor have a preference?

THE COURT: I don't.

MR. TORRENS: Okay. Just for the record, the Court has taken judicial notice of an affidavit in support of a motion for final summary judgment filed in the court record on May 12th, 2010, filed at 11:41 a.m.

- Q. (By Mr. Torrens) Just a few more questions for you, sir. I believe that you testified earlier that you were not working for U.S. Bank in 2009 when this lawsuit was filed; is that correct?
  - A. I began working in 2011.
- Q. In 2011. Okay. So two years after this lawsuit was filed. Now, as -- I know that the original note was not attached to the complaint in this case, to the original



- complaint. Do you remember when the original note and mortgage were actually filed in this case?
  - A. When the original note was filed?
  - O. Correct.
  - A. She referenced it previously when she requested the court file, but I don't know the exact date.
  - Q. Okay. And so going back to the beginning of this court case, can you tell us where the physical original promissory note was located when this case was filed in 2009?
  - A. When the -- when the case was physically filed in 2009, I can't tell you specifically where it was at that moment. If it wasn't with counsel, which we normally send our originals to upon the filing of the complaint, it's -- to shed a little light on it, in 2009 most of our foreclosure complaints were being filed with David Stern's office.

And if -- I'm not sure if that could be an indication -- I don't know if it was their practice to file complaints in that -- in that way, but based upon my review of our records, we were -- had the original note when we acquired the loan in 2006, and at some point the lost note -- (inaudible) --

THE COURT REPORTER: The what?

THE WITNESS: The lost note information.

A. -- was filed in 2010, I believe, with the document you showed me, prior to my employment at the bank. But I



- can't state specifically without looking at the -- any documents in regards to the note on where it was at that exact moment.
  - Q. Okay. So then no documents that may exist on where the note was located when the suit was filed, none of those documents were brought to court today, were they?
  - A. The documents that we've entered into evidence show that we purchased the loan --
  - Q. I'm talking about physical possession of the loan -- of the note -- of the original note --
  - A. And we held it at the time that we purchased it, and the affidavit states that -- references that, as well, that we were the proper --
  - Q. Correct. And what I'm talking about is not acquisition of the loan, purchase of the loan. I'm talking about physical possession of the loan. Can you testify where the original note was located when this suit was filed?
  - A. I can testify that we had possession and we held it as the acquisition states, but the date that the suit was filed, I can't -- I can't testify day by day on exactly what --
    - Q. Yeah, I --
  - A. -- if it was sent to counsel and counsel -- I can't make a speculation on that exactly. I can just testify that we had possession and purchased the note --



1	Q. And when you say you had
2	A (inaudible) indicates that we held the
3	originals, note and mortgage, in 2006, and in regards to any
4	specific date, I can't out of memory tell you that
5	information. I can testify based upon the records that I've
6	testified to and are in evidence today
7	Q. And so your testimony is is based off of the
8	records that are before the Court today, right?
9	A. And the testimony of my preparation of those
10	records and yes.
11	Q. Okay. And do you know if there is a screen shot
12	that shows the date that the loan was well, if well,
13	you are testifying the plaintiff had physical possession when
14	the suit was filed, but you don't know where the original
15	note was. Is there a screen shot out there somewhere that
16	would show the date that it was originally scanned in?
17	MS. HEGGESTAD: Objection. Calls for speculation.
18	MR. TORRENS: I'm just asking him off his knowledge
19	from the records, Judge.
20	THE COURT: All right. Do you understand the
21	question?
22	THE WITNESS: He wants to know the date that
23	THE COURT: Yeah, a screen shot that shows when it
24	was

(By Mr. Torrens) The original note, if it was



Q.

scanned into the computer system, is there a screen shot anywhere out there with a date that would show us when that original note was scanned into the system initially?

A. The -- it's difficult to provide a document which would likely be a link that I would click that would have the date, if I would then print -- I could print a link that says the date something was scanned in, and I would click to view the document, but that wouldn't show the document, or I can scan -- print the document, but it wouldn't show the link. So that's kind of a catch 22.

When we board our loans through the boarding process, they input the information on our acquisition screen. I can't edit that information. It's locked after boarding. So if I were to go into a loan and try to edit the information that was entered through the acquisition screen, I wouldn't be able to.

The information at the time of boarding the loan in 2006 was indicated by the person who boarded the loan that they had physical possession of the note and mortgage, and we would not purchase -- purchase a loan that didn't bear the endorsement on the loan that would give us the rights to enforce that loan. So and it's --

- Q. Okay. And --
- A. -- it's in our boarding documents that shows at that time the person that boarded that file was in possession



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

1 | and verified that we held the note and mortgage.

- Q. Okay. And were there -- are there any records from that person that were brought today?
- A. The acquisition screen shot, that is the record that was input by that person, and that record stays -- I can't go in and edit that record, so it -- it stays as it is from boarding onwards. It's an information screen that we can look at if we want to know anything in regards to the acquisition.
- Q. Okay. And -- and I was asking that question because we see sometimes in some of these foreclosures there's screen shots from a computer system that will have an entry and it will say original note uploaded into the computer system. Is there anything -- I take it there's nothing like that?
  - MS. HEGGESTAD: Objection. Asked and answered.
  - A. Not to my knowledge.
    - THE COURT: I'm sorry?
    - MR. TORRENS: Oh, I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 20 MS. HEGGESTAD: I objected, Your Honor, based on 21 the question being asked and answered.
  - THE COURT: You can answer if --
- A. I'm -- I'm not sure exactly. The documents that
  were provided indicate that we held it, the date we held it,
  when -- how we -- how we acquired it, that we purchased it,



- the date that the purchase took place, the principal balance at the time of purchase. All that was input into our system at boarding dated the day of the acquisition,
- 4 June 30th, 2006, I believe.
  - Q. (By Mr. Torrens) Okay. And let me ask you this, if -- I know you're -- you're testifying that the plaintiff was in possession, but you're not really sure where it was. You said it could be a David Stern case or something like that. Why was the note not attached to the complaint? Do you have any testimony as to that?
- MS. HEGGESTAD: Objection. Calls for speculation.

  THE COURT: If you know, sir, you can answer the
- 13 question.

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 14 A. I -- I can't. You know, that would be speculating.
  15 I honestly don't know.
  - Q. (By Mr. Torrens) Oh, you don't know?
  - A. I don't know why it was not attached to the complaint, no, I do not.
  - Q. Okay. And from your review of the -- of the pleadings and the records in this case, do you have any knowledge or testimony as to why an amended complaint attaching that note wasn't filed until 2014?
- MS. HEGGESTAD: Objection, Your Honor. This was a decision made by the attorneys in the --
- 25 THE COURT: Do you know?



1	THE WITNESS: No, I do not.
2	Q. (By Mr. Torrens) You don't know. Okay. And my
3	last question in that regard, would the affidavit that I
4	showed you from 2010, where U.S. Bank is stating they
5	couldn't find the note in 2010 after the suit was filed, do
6	you know why that would have been filed?
7	MS. HEGGESTAD: Objection. Calls for speculation.
8	THE COURT: You can answer the question if you
9	know, sir.
10	A. I don't specifically.
11	Q. (By Mr. Torrens) Okay. So moving on, it's a
12	substantial amount and moving on to the amounts. For
13	taxes, about \$45,000. For insurance, about \$52,000. If I go
14	through all of these pages from your records line by line and
15	add everything up, will every one of those entries be
16	in in these documents here and come up with that precise
17	figure for the taxes and insurance?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay.
20	MR. TORRENS: Your Honor, no further questions.
21	MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, I just have a few on
22	redirect.
23	THE COURT: Sure.
24	MS. HEGGESTAD: If I may approach the clerk?



## 1 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. HEGGESTAD: 3 0. I just want to show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, and you've testified this document indicates that U.S. Bank held 4 5 possession of the original note and mortgage; is that 6 correct? 7 Α. Yes. 8 0. And that document cannot be edited -- it is created 9 at the time it's completed and cannot be edited by anyone else; is that correct? 10 11 Α. That's correct. 12 And U.S. Bank is both the owner and servicer for 0. 13 the loan; is that correct? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 MS. HEGGESTAD: I have no further questions. 16 Plaintiff rests and asks for a final judgment to be entered

18 THE COURT: Let's see if Mr. -- if Mr. Torrens has
19 any witnesses he's going to call.

MR. TORRENS: No, Your Honor, we don't have any witnesses, but I have a motion for an involuntary dismissal.

THE COURT: Sure.

in the amount previously testified to.

MR. TORRENS: Okay. Your Honor, the basis of the motion for involuntary dismissal is lack of standing. I have a case that is directly on point from the Second District



17

20

21

22

23

24

L	Court of	Appeal.	Ιf	I may	approac	ch? I	have	a co	opy fo	r
2	opposing	counsel,	as	well.	May I	approa	ch,	Your	Honor	. ?
3		THE COU	RT:	Yes.						

MR. TORRENS: Thank you. This is a Second District Court of Appeal case from 2016. This is Corrigan,
C-O-R-R-I-G-A-N, Corrigan versus Bank of America located at
189 So.3d 187. And this case really falls squarely within
Corrigan. In Corrigan, just like here, you had a lawsuit that was filed with a lost note count. They attached no note to the complaint.

Here, just like in Corrigan, a long time after the lawsuit was filed, they then apparently found the note, filed an amended complaint, and they get to trial. And just like here where we have an initial complaint with not -- not just even an unendorsed note, no note attached.

The Second District said under those circumstances that they could not prove standing at inception, that there was no standing at inception. And, in fact, the case that we have here before you today is so much more egregious than even Corrigan.

We have an initial complaint in 2009 filed. No note attached. An amended complaint not filed attaching that note until 2014, five years later. Six years later, 2015, we have finally what looks to be the original note getting filed in 2015.



Even more egregious, in 2011, five months after this lawsuit was filed, you have the plaintiff filing a sworn affidavit under oath and penalty of perjury stating that, Judge, we can't find the note, that we had the rights to enforce it, but, gee, we still can't find it. And that's five months into this lawsuit.

That note that the Court has before you there was not filed until six years after this litigation. The plaintiff has tendered into evidence what they're calling an acquisition screen, and that acquisition screen seems to say that the loan was purchased.

You heard me ask -- Your Honor heard me ask the witness where was the note located when this suit was filed. His answer was we don't know. Maybe it was a David Stern case. He had no knowledge of where the original note was filed. He had no knowledge of why it took so long to file the original note. He had no knowledge why that affidavit was filed directly contrary to the testimony today.

So the facts of this case are so much worse than even Corrigan. In Corrigan, they didn't have sworn affidavits directly contradicting the testimony like they have here today. So not only is there no evidence that they physically possessed the note, and the portion that I highlighted for the Court is where the Second District states here no note, not even a copy was filed with the original



complaint.

Though Bank of America later filed the original note and mortgage along with an assignment, these documents did not establish standing at the time that the original complaint was filed because the endorsement was undated, and the assignment was dated after the initial complaint was filed.

That's the precise set of facts we have here. We have an undated endorsement. No testimony whatsoever as to when that endorsement was placed on that note. A note that was filed in the court record six years after the commencement of this litigation, and an assignment of mortgage that was placed there with the original note in the record that is dated after this lawsuit was filed, but appears to be cleverly back dated to May of 2009.

And the appellate courts have held repeatedly that a back dated assignment doesn't really get the plaintiff anywhere. And so the facts of this case are egregious, Judge. The plaintiff has no evidence that it had standing at inception, and the motion for involuntary dismissal should be granted on the basis of lack of standing, Judge.

THE COURT: Ms. --

MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, well, firstly, I would like to point out to the Court that the plaintiff did not introduce that assignment of mortgage. That's not part of



1 our case in chief and should not be relied on today.

Secondly, there are some differences to the Corrigan case. Most importantly, if you read what was placed into evidence at the trial of the Corrigan case, the only documents placed into evidence were the note and mortgage, assignment, and payment history.

That's not the case here. We placed those documents into evidence, as well as two additional documents showing that U.S. Bank held physical possession of the note and mortgage. There's been no testimony presented --

THE COURT: And those documents are?

MS. HEGGESTAD: The documents that show that U.S. Bank held the note and mortgage are the acquisition screen shot, Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, and the loan purchase -- purchase agreement, Plaintiff's 3. This differs from the Corrigan case, where they placed -- they showed -- they had no evidence showing that they ever held possession.

We have two documents in evidence today showing U.S. Bank held that possession, you know, to prevail on standing -- to prove standing at the time of filing and standing today. If the note gets lost in the middle, they can't find it in the middle, but I have it today and I can prove that we had it in 2009, I don't see how the Court can follow this case.



1	It's we have two documents in evidence showing
2	possession by U.S. Bank. No testimony showing the note was
3	sent anywhere else. No evidence presented that the note was
4	anywhere else other than U.S. Bank when this was filed. I
5	believe we have proven our standing burden, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to deny the motion for
7	involuntary dismissal
8	MR. TORRENS: Oh, I was going to say something
9	very briefly, Judge, for the record, if I may?
LO	THE COURT: I already denied the motion for
L1	involuntary dismissal.
L2	MR. TORRENS: Okay, Judge.
L3	THE COURT: Okay. Are you going to call any
L4	witnesses?
L5	MR. TORRENS: No, Your Honor.
L6	THE COURT: All right. You can make your closing
L7	arguments.
L8	MR. TORRENS: Thank you, Judge.
L9	MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, plaintiff has placed
20	into evidence today eight documents, the note, the
21	acquisition screen shot, the loan purchase agreement, the
22	mortgage, the demand letter, the letter log showing the
23	demand letter was sent, the payment history, and the judgment
24	ficures

The plaintiff has proven standing at the time of



filing, as well as standing at possession. There has been no other testimony outside of my witness's testimony that the note was in the possession of U.S. Bank in 2006, and the blank endorsement is in the court file today and has been in the court file since 2015 filed by the plaintiff.

The plaintiff proved that there was a default of this loan in May of 2009, that that default has not cured -- has not been cured, and that regular payments have not been received by U.S. Bank. U.S. Bank has proven that they advanced numerous taxes and insurance and additional payments on behalf of -- on behalf of this property, and U.S. Bank is entitled to final judgment today.

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. TORRENS: Your Honor, so in our closing argument, I would -- I know the Court just denied the motion for involuntary dismissal, but I think as the case law requires me to do, I'd renew the arguments and advance that motion for involuntary dismissal in the form of a motion for final judgment.

Now that the case has been completed, I would renew the arguments that have already been made. And, additionally, I would point out to the Court that there was no Bailey Letter introduced into evidence. There was no correspondence like you see in some of these cases that the original note was sent to the attorneys, that the original



note was received.

Again, no Bailey Letter, no screen shot showing that the original note was uploaded into the computer system, which we see in some of these cases. That kind of evidence may have gotten the plaintiff there. But a simple screen shot that says, oh, we purchased the loan, that has no bearing whatsoever on physical possession of the original note, especially in a case like this where you have affidavits directly contrary to that.

You have the witness who has testified he had no idea where the original note was in 2009, when this case was filed. A witness who didn't start working for the bank until two years after the commencement of this litigation.

If plaintiff had a Bailey Letter, if they had that type of correspondence, something like that that showed we received the original note. Plaintiff's counsel argued that, well, maybe we lost it in the middle. Well, that doesn't -- that doesn't work. That's just another contradiction in their case.

They simply have not proven by competent, substantial evidence that they had physical possession of the original note at the time that this case was filed, and therefore the judgment should be entered for the defendants.

MS. HEGGESTAD: Your Honor, if I may briefly respond?



```
1
               THE COURT: Uh-huh.
 2
               MS. HEGGESTAD: I just once again would point the
 3
    Court to the acquisition screen shot. It shows physical
 4
    possession of the note and mortgage in 2006 --
               THE COURT: How does it show that --
 5
6
              MS. HEGGESTAD: That was what was testified to. If
7
     I may direct the Court, I can indicate where my witness
8
     testified where it shows physical possession. Do you have
9
     the document?
10
               THE JUDICIAL ASSISTANT: They should all be here.
11
               THE COURT: Show me the document --
12
              MS. HEGGESTAD: Yes, sir.
13
               THE COURT: -- itself that shows the acquisition
14
    date --
15
               MS. HEGGESTAD: That's correct, and Mr. Heifner --
16
               THE COURT: -- on June 30th of 2006 --
17
               MS. HEGGESTAD: -- he further indicated, Judge,
18
    that this line here, original note held, note and mortgage,
19
    and it shows that they were in possession of the original
20
    note and the mortgage as of the date of that acquisition.
21
               THE COURT: All right. That's about the fifth or
22
     sixth line from the bottom of the acquisition screen --
23
               MR. TORRENS: Yes, Judge, and --
24
               THE COURT: She's still arguing --
25
              MR. TORRENS: Oh, sorry, Judge.
```



1	MS. HEGGESTAD: Yes, Judge, and that is our
2	testimony that shows physical possession of the note and the
3	mortgage by U.S. Bank. There's been no evidence presented
4	that the note was in anyone else's possession. There's been
5	no evidence presented to show that it was transferred to any
6	other entity or lost or in the possession of some other
7	servicer. U.S. Bank had possession in 2006. U.S. Bank
8	presented a blank endorsement note to the Court today.
9	THE COURT: Has U.S. Bank been the only entity
LO	that's
L1	MS. HEGGESTAD: That's correct, Judge.
L2	THE COURT: the only servicer
L3	MS. HEGGESTAD: U.S. Bank is the servicer and owner
L4	of this loan.
L5	THE COURT: Okay. You said you wanted to say one
L6	final comment?
L7	MR. TORRENS: Oh, yes, Your Honor, and it's that
L8	they're showing the Court that screen shot and arguing that
L9	that somehow shows they were in physical possession. But yet
20	we have a case here where a lawsuit was filed in 2009, where
21	they couldn't even find the note. They couldn't find the
22	note. They didn't produce the note until six years after
23	this case was filed.
24	Again, that's a screen shot that purportedly is

showing that -- there is no direct evidence that they were in



1	physical possession. Sure the screen shot shows it. And we
2	have a lawsuit filed where they couldn't find it and sworn
3	affidavits that the Court has taken notice of saying we still
4	can't find the note, and the lawsuit has been going on for
5	five months, so it's not it's not sufficient, Judge.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Give me a minute to
7	digest Corrigan and and look at some of these exhibits.
8	MR. TORRENS: Okay.
9	THE COURT: I'll be right back. I'm taking a
LO	five-minute or 15-minute recess.
L1	(Thereupon, a recess was taken from 2:57 p.m. to
L2	3:21 p.m.)
L3	THE COURT: Okay. All right. The Court has
L4	considered the testimony of the witness and the exhibits that
L5	have been submitted by the plaintiff and the defendant, and
L6	the Court has also reviewed the Corrigan case again in
L7	chambers.
L8	And after having considered all of that, the Court
L9	is going to find that the plaintiff did not prove it had
20	standing at the time that the lawsuit was filed. They did
21	not prove that they had physical possession of the note at
22	the lawsuit at the time that the lawsuit was filed,
23	coupled with some other things in the file, the affidavit by
24	II S Bank at some point in time indicating they didn't know



where the note was.

1	And there's a back dated assignment of the mortgage
2	and note after the lawsuit was filed I think it was after
3	the lawsuit was filed. And the fact that the notice of
4	filing the actual note itself was March 2015. So I think
5	there's some holes in the plaintiff's position on standing
6	that it cannot and did not cover. So you can draft an
7	MR. TORRENS: Thank you, Your Honor. Do you want
8	me to do a judgment here or send you one on JAWS or
9	THE COURT: You can send me one on JAWS and give
10	her a chance to look at it.
11	MR. TORRENS: Okay. It will be cleaner that way,
12	and I'll show it to plaintiff's counsel before we
13	MS. HEGGESTAD: That's fine, Judge. I just want to
14	make clear that this is only as to count one, as count two
15	has already been ruled on by the Court.
16	THE COURT: What was count two?
17	MS. HEGGESTAD: Count two was
18	MR. TORRENS: Was the title issue
19	THE COURT: Oh, yeah, yeah.
20	MR. TORRENS: Yeah. So, yeah, that's my
21	understanding that this is as to count one.
22	MS. HEGGESTAD: Okay. Thank you, Judge.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
24	MR. TORRENS: Oh, and just on the record,
25	Your Honor, I would submit a proposed judgment where it just



has a reservation for a determination on entitlement to fees and costs? THE COURT: You weren't going to do that, were you? MR. TORRENS: I just wanted the record to reflect that. THE COURT: Sure. MR. TORRENS: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much. MS. HEGGESTAD: Thank you, Judge. MR. TORRENS: Thank you, Judge. (Thereupon, the proceeding concluded at 3:26 p.m.) 



## TRIAL US BANK vs ESLAHI

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA )
4	COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH )
5	
6	I, Julie Johnson, certify that I was authorized to
7	and did stenographically report the trial, and that the
8	foregoing pages are a true and complete record of my
9	stenographic notes taken during said trial.
10	
11	I further certify that I am not a relative,
12	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am
13	I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or
14	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially
15	interested in the action.
16	
17	Dated this 23rd day of January, 2019.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	JULIE JOHNSON, COURT REPORTER Esquire Deposition Solutions
23	101 East Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 3350 Tampa, Florida 33602
24	813-221-2535
25	



	187	46:20		5th
\$	55:7	47:9,11,	3	45:19
Y		14 55:21		
	189	57:15	•	
3300,260.40	55:7	58:24	3	6
38:25	1:57	60:7	21:3,6	
39:2	19:8	61:11	22:4	6
373,438.47	1	63:20	58:15	26:4,7
34:15	1st		30	,
38:17	13:22	2010	13:23	
	33:25	42:7 43:2	25:9	7
\$45,000	34:2,8,	44:25		
53:13	18,20	45:19	30-day	7
45,867	38:25	46:17	27:24	28:8,11
39:6	45:5	47:24	300	29:6 45:1
		53:4,5	39:1	2,70 1,3•1
52,000		2011		
53:13	2	2011	30th	8
552,167.81		6:22 15:7	13:9,24	
39:10	2	42:7	20:4,22	8
39.10	16:9,14	46:22,23	21:1	
774,752.68	17:17	56:1	22:16	36:22,25
39:19	19:10	2014	25:12,14,	37:23
4000	54:3	29:17	15 27:24	38:9
\$920	58:14	40:19	52:4	
39:16		52:22	62:16	A
	2006	55:23		A
1	13:9,22	55.45	3:21	
<del>-</del>	14:16,24,	2015	64:12	a.m.
	25 15:5,	9:4 32:6	3:26	46:17
L	10,17,21	33:16	66:11	abbreviated
10:3,7,11	20:4,22	55:23,25	00111	20:16
16:7	21:1	60:5 65:4	3rd	
1:41	22:16		9:4	abbreviatio
46:17	29:20	2016		n
	45:5	55:5		20:12,17
L <b>2</b>	47:20	2018	4	abundantly
33:10	49:3	33:17		5:22
.2th	50:18	38:25	4	0.44
45:19	52:4 60:3		23:3,7,14	acceleratio
46:17	62:4,16	22		n
10.1/	63:7	50:10		23:25
.4th		24	5	20000
32:6	2009	29:23		access
.5-minute	25:12,14,	30:1 33:8	5	8:1
	15 27:17		24:8,11,	account
64:10	33:25	2:57	16 27:7	8:9
L5901	34:2,8,	64:11	· -· ·	30:12,13,
24:25	18,20			= , = 3 ,



US BAINN VS ESLAMI			index: accuratelyback		
14,21	60:10	56:3,17	55:1,5	45:3	
32:1,18	additionall	64:23	appears	assume	
accurately		affidavits	57:15	19:11	
17:9	<b>y</b> 35:5 38:3	42:2,3	37.13	19.11	
21:22	60:22	44:4	appellate	assuming	
	00.22		57:16	33:1	
26:23	address	56:21	application	40:25	
37:15	23:22	61:9 64:3	22:19,23	attached	
acquired	admission	affirm	22.19,23	40:7,10	
13:8,22	38:6	4:22	applied	40:7,10	
20:3	30.0	a.£.L. a	34:11		
47:20	admit	afternoon	approach	45:8,22	
51:25	10:10	4:2,3	9:5 10:6	46:25	
		agreement		52:9,17	
acquiring	admitted	58:15	31:8 41:5	55:9,15,	
13:8	10:14	59:21	42:24	22	
acquisition	19:10		53:24	attaching	
16:16,19	22:7	ahead	55:1,2	40:12	
17:16	24:17	8:18 23:6	argued	52:22	
18:1	33:20	51:19	61:16	55:22	
19:11,13	35:1 42:4	amended			
20:4,5,6	advance	40:11,16,	arguing	attachments	
22:14,15	34:21	17 52:21	62:24	44:5	
48:15,19	35:2	55:13,22	63:18	attorneys	
50:12,15	60:17		argument	7:22	
51:4,9		America	60:15	52:24	
52:3	advanced	55:6 57:2		60:25	
56:10	39:4,12	amount	arguments	00.23	
58:13	60:10	25:9	43:24	August	
	advise	34:14	59:17	27:17,25	
59:21	25:6	39:17,19	60:17,21		
62:3,13,	43:22	53:12	asks	_	
20,22	43.22	54:17	54:16	B	
activity	advises	34.17			
26:10	25:7	amounts	assignment	B-R-Y-A-N	
27:15	advocacy	35:8	9:24	6:8	
30:21,25	7:4	39:15	57:3,6,	back	
32:1	7 • 1	53:12	12,17,25	9:17	
	affidavit	and/or	58:6 65:1		
actual	41:3,7	45:11	ASSISTANT	11:7,10,	
65:4	42:7,10,	45.11	19:18,22	11 16:5	
add	21 43:2,	answers	23:9 27:8	19:9,20	
33:13	13,17	8:23	62:10	23:9 26:3	
53:15	44:19,23	annaman+1	02.10	29:15,20	
	45:8,22	apparently	Association	30:8	
additional	46:2,4,15	38:8	4:5,6	31:10	
				4 F • O F	
15:25 58:8	48:12	55:12	6:20	45:25 46:1 47:7	



OS DAINK VS LS	LAIII		"	idex. Dalleycatch
57:15,17	55:6 57:2	5:3 34:22	35:22	59:13
64:9 65:1	58:9,13,	39:4	36:16	called
Bailey	20 59:2,4	60:11	briefly	29:10
60:23	60:3,9,12	bid	5:2 59:9	30:20
61:2,14	61:12	22:24	61:24	
	63:3,7,9,			calling
balance	13 64:24	bit	bring	56:9
20:5	Bank's	28:19	6:3 9:16	calls
34:16	11:19	29:9	15:12	6:1 49:17
45:14	13:20	blank	35:21	52:11
52:1	14:12	11:3	brought	53:7
balances	16:22	45:23	15:11,19	
45:13	21:13	60:4 63:8	18:9 48:6	canceled
h - 1 1	26:14	h 3	51:3	28:1
ballpark	28:21,23	board	D	care
18:13	32:23	50:11	<b>Bryan</b> 6:1,8,14	5:1,18
bank	37:6	boarded	6:1,8,14	case
4:5 6:20,		20:3	built	5:19,24
21 7:5,8,	Barakat	50:18,25	7:24	11:13,15
15,18 8:5	4:9	boarding	burden	20:11
11:21	based	21:1	59:5	30:2
12:5	36:10	50:11,14,		33:10,12
13:22	38:8	17,24	business	40:6,13
15:5,7	47:18	51:7 52:3	7:3,8	41:3,20
16:24	49:5,7		10:19	43:2
18:21	51:20	book	16:22	46:25
19:2	basis	45:6	21:13	47:2,8,9,
20:21,25	28:19	borrower	26:14	10 52:8,
21:8,15	30:6 38:1	24:1	28:21	20 54:25
22:5	54:23	32:25	37:6	55:5,7,18
24:22	57:21	34:22	40:22	56:15,19
26:16		borrower's	button	57:18
27:12	bear	45:4	30:7	58:1,3,4,
30:6,17,	10:24	43.4		7,16,25
22,24	50:20	borrowers	a	60:16,20
32:14,20	bearing	27:19	C	61:8,11,
33:3 37:8	61:7	borrowers'		19,22
39:13	bears	22:12	C-O-R-R-I-	63:20,23
42:8,9 43:2,16	23:17	32:18	G-A-N	64:16
-	23.17		55:6	cases
44:23 45:3	began	bottom	California	7:11
	46:22	62:22	10:19	60:24
46:20 47:25	beginning	breach		61:4
53:4	47:7	24:13	call	
54:4,12		breakdown	18:1	catch
34.4,14	behalf	Dr eavdown	54:19	50:10



certified	50:5,7	complaints	16:11	Corp
9:20,25	Cliff	47:15,18	19:23	10:18
23:13	24:25	gomploted	33:21	gomponot o
-11	24.25	completed 54:9	41:24	corporate
chambers	close			6:24
64:17	4:10,11	60:20	continues	corporation
chance	5:21	composite	32:9	10:19,20
65:10	closed	29:6	continuousl	11:2
-1		34:13	У	
changed	13:21		33:13	correct
41:25	closing	computer		11:10
check	59:16	12:16,19	contradicti	12:6 15:8
9:18	60:14	13:5,13	ng	18:22
31:22		15:12,18	56:21	19:12
	collection	17:25	contradicti	20:22,25
checks	26:9	18:4	on	22:22
13:11	collections	29:8,18,	61:19	28:3
chief	8:22	21,23	01.17	32:7,16
41:20		30:22	contrary	34:5 36:2
58:1	collectivel	31:13	44:10	38:11,18
20.1	У	50:1	56:18	40:13
circumstanc	28:13	51:12,14	61:9	41:13
es	gommon gomon	61:3	<b>-</b>	44:15
55:16	commencemen		control	46:21
-1	t	computers	45:10	47:4
clarificati	57:12	33:2	conversatio	48:14
on	61:13	concluded	ns	54:6,10,
13:17	comment	66:11	27:18	11,13,14
14:8	63:16			
clarify		considered	copies	62:15
19:4	communicate	64:14,18	7:20 8:21	63:11
20:21	7:22	contained	12:21	correlate
20.21	company	11:22	14:3,4,	23:22
cleaner	7:24		15,25	_
65:11		34:12	45:7,25	corresponde
clear	competent	35:9,17	gan	nce
5:22 11:5	61:20	38:3	copy	60:24
44:12	complaint	contemporan	5:11	61:15
	8:23	eously	9:11,20,	corresponds
65:14	40:4,6,8,	17:9	25 10:9,	22:17,21
clerk		21:22	11,16	35:18
53:24	10,12,16,	26:23	11:8,12,	
	17 46:25	37:15	15 12:17	Corrigan
cleverly	47:1,13		14:1,5	55:5,6,8,
57:15	52:9,18,	continue	23:13	11,20
click	21 55:10,	32:10	24:5 32:6	56:20
15:3,13	13,14,21,	34:23	55:1	58:3,4,16
TD • D • T D				, , – -
30:7,13	22 57:1,	continued	56:25	64:7,16



OS DAINK VS ESE				ex. costsuemanu
costs	15:11	63:8,9,	30:21,25	33:9
66:2	16:2,5	12,15,18	31:20	40:21
counsel	17:18,22	64:3,6,9,	32:1	dating
23:3	19:3,6,9,	13,16,18		29:20
24:14	14 20:13,	65:9,15,		30:8
41:19	15,18	16,19,23	D	33:17
47:12	22:7	66:3,6,8		33.11
48:23	23:5,14	Court's	daily	David
55:2	24:17	38:2	28:19	47:15
61:16	25:3,19	46:11	data	52:8
65:12	27:9 29:1	40.11	17:9	56:14
05.12	31:9	courts	21:22	day
count	33:20	57:16	26:23	15:1,2
5:8,10,	34:6	cover	35:4	48:20
12,13	35:13,15,	29:12	37:15	52:3
55:9	24 36:10,	65:6		
65:14,16,	16,18,21	0.5 • 0	date	days
17,21	38:7,13	covers	13:10,12	13:23
county	39:1,7,23	27:16	14:13	25:9
45:6	41:12	created	15:13	decision
43.0	42:12,13,	15:3 33:7	20:4	52:24
couple	16,19,20,	54:8	22:13,15,	
8:13	22,25		19 25:11,	default
11:25	43:8,11,	Credit	15,23	6:24 9:1
18:18	21,25	10:18,20	29:14	13:2
19:4	44:1,6,9,	11:2	32:10	25:8,9,
29:10	14,16	cross	33:15,23	10,13,17,
40:3	46:13,14,	39:22	40:17	20 60:6,7
coupled	16 47:6,		45:4,16	defaulted
64:23	8,22 48:6	CROSS-	47:6	7:11
04.23	49:8,20,	EXAMINATION	48:19	
court	23 51:18,	40:1	49:4,12,	defaults
4:2,4,10,	22 52:12,	cure	16,22	24:1
13,17,20,	25 53:8,	25:8,9,10	50:2,6,7	defendant
25 5:4,6,	23 54:18,		51:24	6:1 64:15
7,9,15,	22 55:1,	cured	52:1	
18,25	3,5 56:7,	25:21	62:14,20	defendants
6:2,6,7,	24 57:11,	60:8	dated	5:23
10,12,15	22,24	current	13:22	41:11,15
9:4,5,8,	58:11,24	6:23	15:3 52:3	61:23
10,11,12,	59:6,10,	33:16	57:6,14,	defer
15,17,23	13,16	34:16	15,17	9:9
10:14	60:4,5,	38:22	65:1	dograa
11:6 12:1	13,15,22		03.1	degree
13:17,24	62:1,3,5,	custody	dates	44:20
14:7,11,	7,11,13,	45:10	26:1	demand
17,20	16,21,24	customer	29:25	24:18
	,,			



ct 17 :11 :23 :21 :8 62:7 :25 ctly :10 :25 :18,21 :9 ursemen :4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	21,24 28:14 29:5 37:1,22 38:6 40:25 41:2 43:4,5,7, 19 44:8 45:2,24 46:9,10 47:24 50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11  documents 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2 42:2,5	20,22,24 39:4  duties 7:1,10  E  earlier 46:19  early 27:17,25  easier 19:17 36:16  ECC 10:20 11:2 edit	encrypt 29:15  encrypted 28:18 30:2 31:25  end 14:25 31:7,24  endorsement 10:24 11:1,6, 11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:11 :23 :21 :8 62:7 :25 :tly :10 :25 :18,21 :9 ursemen :4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	29:5 37:1,22 38:6 40:25 41:2 43:4,5,7, 19 44:8 45:2,24 46:9,10 47:24 50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11  documents 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	duties 7:1,10  E  earlier 46:19  early 27:17,25  easier 19:17 36:16  ECC 10:20 11:2  edit	encrypted     28:18     30:2     31:25  end     14:25     31:7,24  endorsement     10:24     11:1,6,     11,18,22     12:5 13:1     14:3     45:23,25     50:21     57:5,9,10     60:4 63:8
:23 :21 :8 62:7 :25 :tly :10 :25 :18,21 :9 ursemen :4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	37:1,22 38:6 40:25 41:2 43:4,5,7, 19 44:8 45:2,24 46:9,10 47:24 50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11  documents 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	7:1,10  E  earlier 46:19  early 27:17,25  easier 19:17 36:16  ECC 10:20 11:2  edit	28:18 30:2 31:25 end 14:25 31:7,24 endorsement 10:24 11:1,6, 11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:23 :21 :8 62:7 :25 :tly :10 :25 :18,21 :9 ursemen :4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	37:1,22 38:6 40:25 41:2 43:4,5,7, 19 44:8 45:2,24 46:9,10 47:24 50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11  documents 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	7:1,10  E  earlier 46:19  early 27:17,25  easier 19:17 36:16  ECC 10:20 11:2  edit	28:18 30:2 31:25 end 14:25 31:7,24 endorsement 10:24 11:1,6, 11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:21 :8 62:7 :25 :21 :10 :25 :18,21 :9 ursemen :4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	38:6 40:25 41:2 43:4,5,7, 19 44:8 45:2,24 46:9,10 47:24 50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11  documents 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	E  earlier     46:19  early     27:17,25  easier     19:17     36:16  ECC     10:20     11:2  edit	30:2 31:25 end 14:25 31:7,24 endorsement 10:24 11:1,6, 11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:8 62:7 :25 :21y :10 :25 :18,21 :9 ursemen :4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	40:25 41:2 43:4,5,7, 19 44:8 45:2,24 46:9,10 47:24 50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11  documents 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	earlier    46:19 early    27:17,25 easier    19:17    36:16 ECC    10:20    11:2 edit	31:25  end  14:25 31:7,24  endorsement  10:24 11:1,6, 11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:25 ctly :10 :25 :18,21 :9 ursemen :4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	41:2 43:4,5,7, 19 44:8 45:2,24 46:9,10 47:24 50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11  documents 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	earlier    46:19 early    27:17,25 easier    19:17    36:16 ECC    10:20    11:2 edit	end  14:25 31:7,24  endorsement  10:24 11:1,6, 11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:10 :25 :18,21 :9 ursemen :4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	43:4,5,7, 19 44:8 45:2,24 46:9,10 47:24 50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11  documents 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	earlier    46:19 early    27:17,25 easier    19:17    36:16 ECC    10:20    11:2 edit	14:25 31:7,24 endorsement 10:24 11:1,6, 11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:10 :25 :18,21 :9 ursemen :4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	19 44:8 45:2,24 46:9,10 47:24 50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11 <b>documents</b> 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	46:19 early 27:17,25 easier 19:17 36:16 ECC 10:20 11:2 edit	31:7,24  endorsement 10:24 11:1,6, 11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:25 :18,21 :9 ursemen :4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	45:2,24 46:9,10 47:24 50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11 <b>documents</b> 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	46:19 early 27:17,25 easier 19:17 36:16 ECC 10:20 11:2 edit	31:7,24  endorsement 10:24 11:1,6, 11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:18,21 :9 ursemen :4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	46:9,10 47:24 50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11 <b>documents</b> 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	early 27:17,25  easier 19:17 36:16  ECC 10:20 11:2 edit	endorsement 10:24 11:1,6, 11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:9 ursemen :4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	47:24 50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11  documents 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	27:17,25  easier     19:17     36:16  ECC     10:20     11:2  edit	10:24 11:1,6, 11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	50:4,8,9 54:4,8 62:9,11 <b>documents</b> 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	27:17,25  easier     19:17     36:16  ECC     10:20     11:2  edit	11:1,6, 11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	54:4,8 62:9,11 documents 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	easier     19:17     36:16  ECC     10:20     11:2 edit	11,18,22 12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:4 losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	62:9,11  documents 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	19:17 36:16 ECC 10:20 11:2 edit	12:5 13:1 14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	documents 7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	36:16 ECC 10:20 11:2 edit	14:3 45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
losed :10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	7:20 28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	10:20 11:2 edit	45:23,25 50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
:10,16, 38:2 ussion :7	28:12 29:13,25 30:20 37:2	10:20 11:2 edit	50:21 57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
38:2 ussion :7	29:13,25 30:20 37:2	10:20 11:2 edit	57:5,9,10 60:4 63:8
ussion :7	29:13,25 30:20 37:2	11:2 edit	60:4 63:8
: 7	30:20 37:2	edit	
: 7	37:2		
	12 2/5	50:13,14	endorsement
issal	45:8	51:6	S
:21,24	48:2,4,6,		11:19
:20	7 50:24	edited	12:8,13
:7,11	51:23	54:8,9	enforce
:16,18	53:16	egregious	50:22
		55:19	56:5
rict	57:3	56:1	
:25	58:5,8,	57:18	enforcement
:4,16	11,12,19	_	45:12
:24	59:1,20	else's	enter
et	Dover	63:4	17:9
:16	24:25	employee	21:22
• 10		32:22	26:23
ment			37:15
:8	65:6		3/.15
:14,17	drive	30:17	entered
:25		emplover	5:23 48:7
:2,20,			50:15
21:7,			54:16
			61:23
	22:13	47:25	
	25:23	Encore	entities
	33:24		11:19
	34:3,14,		entitled
: 8	17 38:17,	11.7	45:12
	ment :8 :14,17 :25 :2,20, 21:7, 22:9, ,25 :12,16 :11 :8 :14,15,	:8 65:6 :14,17 <b>drive</b> :25 24:25 :2,20, 28:18 21:7, 22:9, <b>due</b> ,25 22:13 :12,16 33:24 :8 34:3,14,	ment draft :8 65:6 employees :14,17 drive :25 24:25 employer :2,20, 28:18 24:23 21:7, 22:9, due employment ,25 25:23 47:25 :12,16 33:24 10:18 :8 34:3,14, 11:2



US BANK vs ES	LAHI		Index	k: entitlementfind
60:12	24:16	38:9	18:23	46:11,16,
	27:5,6	41:11,14,	30:5,10	17,21,24
entitlement	28:6 35:1	23 42:1,	<b>C</b> 1	47:2,3,9,
66:1	37:22	15 44:7	feel	10,15,24
entity	41:21	54:3	41:25	48:5,17,
63:6,9	43:20	58:14	fees	20 49:14
entries	48:7 49:6		39:12	52:22
38:9	56:9,22	exhibits	66:1	53:5,6
53:15	57 <b>:</b> 19	41:18	£!	55:9,12,
23.12	58:4,5,8,	64:7,14	<b>figure</b> 18:13	21,22,24
entry	17,19	exist	53:17	56:2,8,
51:13	59:1,3,20	48:4	23.17	13,16,18,
equitable	60:23		figures	25 57:2,
5:10	61:4,21	expenditure	35:22	5,7,11,14
3.10	63:3,5,25	<b>S</b>	37:2	59:4 60:5
Eslahi		36:12	59:24	61:12,22
4:7,8 8:8	exact	expenses	file	63:20,23
10:23	15:1 47:6	34:23	8:15,24	64:2,20,
23:21	48:3	oumloin	9:11 11:6	22 65:2,3
24:25	EXAMINATION	explain		
establish	6:17	7:1 19:25	12:22,23,	files
57:4	16:11	22:8 29:9	24 13:2,	30:2
37.4	19:23	extra	8,9 14:1	filing
Estates	33:21	24:4	15:3,4,13	45:20
4:6	54:1		18:11,12	47:13
event		-	29:15,17 30:15	56:2
17:13	excluded	F		58:21
22:1 27:2	35:11		31:25	60:1 65:4
37:19	executed	facilities	32:15 41:22,25	
	10:22	33:2	41:22,25	filings
events	23:20	fact	44:1,9,14	40:21
17:10	exhibit	36:10	47:6,17	final
21:23		38:8	50:25	44:20
26:24	10:3,7,11	55:18	56:16	46:5,16
37:16	16:7,8,9,	65:3	60:4,5	54:16
eventually	14 17:17 19:9,10			60:12,19
30:20		facts	64:23	63:16
40:12	21:3,6	56:19	filed	finall
	22:4	57:8,18	5:4 8:23,	finally 55:24
evidence	23:3,7,14	Faircloth	24 9:4	55.24
5:22	24:8	4:6	40:6,12,	financial
10:11	26:4,7 27:7		16,18,19	35:3
15:17,22	27:7	falls	41:3,11,	find
16:1	28:8,11	55:7	15,17	42:11
17:16	29:6 35:2	familiar	42:7,9,10	53:5
22:4,18	36:22,25	7:7,10,14	43:2	56:4,5
23:23	37:23	8:8,11	45:17	58:23
				50.25
1				



formats	9:7 13:19	6:1,18	Heifner
28:17	18:1	9:3,9,16,	6:1,2,8,
found	36:12	20 10:2,	14,19
		5,10,15	19:25
55.12		11:9,12	22:8
frame	н	15:14,25	23:11
25:8		16:4,7,12	62:15
27:16	H-E-I-F	17:15	held
front	6:10	19:12,16,	20:11,14,
	H-E-I-F-N-	21,24	15,25
		20:20	21:2
		21:5	44:23
		22:3,8	48:11,18
		23:2,6,	49:2
46:7	20:16	10,11,15,	51:1,24
function	HAGGESTAD	16 24:4,	54:4
		10,14,18	57:16
		25:5,20,	58:9,13,
		23 26:6	17,20
_	8:14	27:4,10,	62:18
29:22	hand	13 28:6,	02.10
funds	4:21	10 33:22	highlighted
34:21	19:18	34:9	56:24
		35:12,14,	histories
·		16,25	7:21 8:22
G	29:5	36:15,24	29:14,16
	handing	37:21	33:13
gee	43:1	38:16	
56:5	happoning	39:3,11	history
gonorally.		41:6,9,14	25:25
	13.22	43:18	28:13,15
/ · ⊥	hazard	44:3,12,	29:20
give	35:8,22	15 49:17	30:8
4:23	39:9	51:16,20	31:19
18:13	heard	52:11,23	32:9
19:20		53:7,21,	33:17,20,
50:21	50.12	24 54:2,	23 34:9,
64:6 65:9	hearsay	15 57:23	12,13,19,
good	11:25	58:12	22 35:18,
-	14:18	59:19	24 36:1,
1.2,3 0.3	15:15,23	61:24	4,8,11
granted	17:20	62:2,6,	38:8,10,
5:7 57:21	28:24	12,15,17	12 58:6
			59:23
grounds	Heggestad	63:1,11,	
grounds	<b>Heggestad</b> 4:19 5:2.	13 65:13,	
grounds 38:5	<b>Heggestad</b> 4:19 5:2, 3,7,10		holder 45:3
	28:17  found 55:12  frame 25:8 27:16  front 11:7,10 28:12 45:15  fronts 46:7  function 7:7 29:18,21  functioning 29:22  funds 34:21  G  gee 56:5  generally 7:1  give 4:23 18:13 19:20 50:21 64:6 65:9  good 4:2,3 6:5  granted	28:17       18:1         found       36:12         55:12       ————————————————————————————————————	28:17       18:1       9:3,9,16,         found       36:12       20 10:2,         55:12       5,10,15         frame       H       15:14,25         25:8       16:4,7,12         27:16       H-E-I-F       17:15         front       6:10       19:12,16,         11:7,10       H-E-I-F-N-       21,24         28:12       E-R       20:20         45:15       6:9       21:5         fronts       H-L-D       23:2,6,         46:7       20:16       10,11,15,         function       HAGGESTAD       16 24:4,         7:7       38:14       25:5,20,         4:21       23 26:6         funds       4:21       23 26:6         funds       4:21       10 33:22         34:21       19:18       34:9         handed       16,25       36:15,24         handing       37:21       38:16         56:5       happening       41:6,9,14         gee       43:1       39:3,11         4:23       39:9       51:16,20         18:13       hacard       52:11,23         19:20       56:12       53:7,21,



S BAINK VS ES				ex: nolaingJua
holding	57:23	24:11	information	interest
45:24	59:5,15,	26:8	7:21	38:20,22,
holes	19 60:14	28:10	16:16,19	24,25
65:5	61:24	37:1	17:12	45:14
	63:17	identifying	18:10	interpret
home	65:7,25	10:15	20:2,10	17:2,6
7:5 30:4,	house		21:25	21:18
11	18:8	image	22:12	26:20
homeowner's	20:10	7:20	23:17	37:11
4:6 27:25	29:19	importantly	27:1	
39:9	32:25	58:3	35:17	intranet
	32.23		37:3,18	29:10
nonestly	housed	inaudible	40:9	30:4,10,
52:15	7:21	20:12	47:23	11
Honor	30:2,9	25:1,17	49:5	introduce
4:15,19	31:23	35:14	50:12,13,	42:14
5:2,11,	h	42:12	15,17	
17,19	houses	47:21	51:7	57:25
	29:23	49:2		introduced
9:3,16	hyperlink		initial	60:23
10:10	15:12,13	inception	55:14,21	
11:4,25		55:17,18	57:6	involuntary
15:14,15		57:20	initially	54:21,24
17:15,19	I	include	15:10,20	57:20
19:1		42:2	29:12	59:7,11
22:3,5	idea		50:3	60:16,18
23:2	61:11	included	30.3	issue
24:14		15:4	input	16:6
27:4,11	identificat	including	18:10	22:22
28:24	ion	42:5	50:12	65:18
33:18	10:4		51:5 52:2	
34:25	16:10	indicating	insurance	item
35:12	21:4 23:8	64:24	27:25	36:5
36:15	24:9	indication	32:11	
37:21,24	26:5,7	32:20	34:24	J
39:21	28:9	47:17		
41:5,9,22	36:23,25		35:8,23	
42:18	identified	individual	36:5 39:9	JAWS
43:18,21	16:13	10:21	53:13,17	65:8,9
44:3	10.13	17:8	60:10	job
46:8,9,12	identifies	21:21	intend	6:23 7:7
51:20	16:19	26:22	9:13	10 17:5
52:23	identify	37:14		26:19
53:20,21	<del>-</del>	individuals	intended	∠U•⊥9
54:20,21	10:7	I	41:19	jog
55:2	16:14	10:22	intent	40:20
56:12	21:6 23:11	23:19	35:6	Judge



US BANK VS ES	LAHI		Ind	lex: judgmentlost
4:3 15:25	13:9,21,	Lauren	link	15,16
16:7	22,24	5:3	15:3	49:12
19:12	14:16,24,	law	50:5,6,9	50:14,17,
36:20	25 20:4,	44:20	list	18,20,21,
38:11	22 21:1	60:16	20:2	22 54:13
42:23	22:16	00.10	27:15	56:11
44:15	25:12	lawsuit	41:11,15,	58:14
49:19	45:5 52:4	42:9	16,23	59:21
56:4	62:16	46:20,23	42:1 44:7	60:7 61:6
57:19,21		55:8,12		63:14
59:9,12,	77	56:2,6	lists	loans
18 62:17,	K	57:14	25:9	7:11,19
23,25		63:20	litigation	29:19,20,
63:1,11	keeping	64:2,4,	56:8	23 33:1
64:5	7:14,18	20,22	57:12	50:11
65:13,22	18:23	65:2,3	61:13	
66:9,10	kind	leave		located
judgment	10:24	9:10	litigations	47:9
5:8,23	29:11	2 2	7:4	48:5,17
35:22	50:10	lender	loan	55:6
37:2,4	61:4	10:17,18	8:9,12,25	56:13
41:8	l al a da-a	12:23 13:7 14:2	12:12,13	locked
44:20	knowledge 11:24	22:11	13:21,23	50:13
46:5,16	17:13	22.11	15:20	log
54:16	22:1 27:2	lending	16:18,20	27:5,6,20
59:23	37:19	18:5	18:9	59:22
60:12,19	40:14	letter	20:3,21	37.22
61:23	49:18	24:13,18	21:8,9	long
65:8,25	51:17	25:5,7,	22:11,12,	6:21 30:9
judicial	52:21	11,15,21	21 25:7,	55:11
9:12	56:15,16,	27:5,23,	23 26:9	56:16
19:18,22	17	24 28:2	27:16	looked
23:9 27:8		59:22,23	28:13	11:6
42:20		60:23	29:24	18:11
43:22,25	L	61:2,14	30:25	logg
46:15		letters	31:24	loss 8:22
62:10	labeled	27:19,21	32:17	45:12
	19:12	·	33:5,24 34:1,3,	
judicially	lack	lien	10,12,14,	lost
46:10	11:24	5:10	17 36:6,	44:25
July	54:24	life	13 38:17,	45:9
25:14,15	57:21	13:15	20 39:4,	47:20,23
27:17,24		36:13	5,12 45:4	55:9
45:5	Lastly		47:20	58:22
June	41:19	light	48:8,10,	61:17
		47:14	-5 5,15,	63:6





November 13, 2018

JS BANK VS ES	LAH		IIIuex	:: notespayment
9,13,22	43:17	older	55:24	66:11
59:2,3,20	44:8 56:3	29:16,25	56:15,17,	2222
60:3,25	-1-4		25 57:2,	pages
61:1,3,8,	object	onwards	4,13	43:9,11,
11,16,22	9:14 24:6	51:7	60:25	14 45:25
62:4,18,	35:1 38:6	opening	61:3,7,	46:7
20 63:2,	41:9	5:1,16	11,16,22	53:14
4,8,21,22	43:19	opportunity	62:18,19	paragraph
64:4,21,	objected	35:9 40:5		45:1
25 65:2,4	51:20	41:1	originally	part
	objecting	41.1	13:5,13	7:7,10
notes	23:4	opposing	49:16	26:19
6:3 7:21		55:2	originals	
8:18,22,	24:15	options	47:13	43:3,13 57:25
25 12:8	objection	7:5	49:3	57.45
26:9,10	10:12,13		omi minotina	past
27:5,6	11:24	order	originating	31:4
notice	14:18	5:11	10:17,18	nav
4:13 9:12	15:15	29:18,22	origination	<b>pay</b> 36:8
35:6 38:4	16:3	38:2	12:22	30.0
42:6,14,	17:20	ordinary	14:1	paying
20 43:22,	19:2 22:6	16:21	outlined	32:10
25 46:11,	27:11	21:12	25:13,17,	34:23
15 64:3	28:24	26:13	20.13,17,	payment
65:3	33:19	28:20	20	7:21 8:22
	36:10,19	37:5	overrule	25:25
noticed	37:25		36:19	28:13,15
29:6	38:1	original	overruled	29:14,16,
46:8,10	42:16	9:3,10,	37:24	20 31:19
November	49:17	12,16,19	42:16	32:9
38:25	51:16	11:5	42.10	33:13,17,
	52:11,23	12:23	owing	20,23
number	53:7	13:7 14:2	25:24	34:1,3,4,
20:7,8		20:11,14	33:24	9,12,13,
22:12	occur	21:2	34:17	18,19,22
30:25	32:9	22:11	38:17,23	35:18,24
32:17	41:17	40:4,6,8,	owner	36:1,4,11
41:10	occurred	10,15	45:3	
45:6	36:12	44:23,24	54:12	38:8,10, 12 58:6
numerous	45:13	45:9	63:13	59:23
60:10	Ogtobon	46:24,25	03.13	59.43
	October	47:1,3,8,		payments
	33:17	19 48:10,	P	27:18
0	offered	17 49:14,		32:9
	44:11	25 50:3	p.m.	34:5,7,
oath	office	51:13	19:8	10,11,18
42:10	47:15	54:5	64:11,12	39:3
	4 / • 1 5		ロオ・エエ・エム	



US BANK VS ES	LAHI		index	c penaltyprocess
60:8,11	34:21	13:17	practices	principal
penalty	39:17	14:7	7:8	20:5
	41:4	16:2,5,6		34:14,16
42:8 56:3	42:4,8	18:16,17	precise	38:17
pending	44:8,11	41:24	53:16	45:14
13:3	45:10,11	47:20	57:8	52:1
5 5	49:13	54:25	prefer	
Perfect	52:6	57:24	46:9	print
9:5	54:16	60:22	_	11:10
period	56:2,9	62:2	preference	31:2,18,
27:22	57:17,19,	64:24	46:12	24 42:12
31:19		04.24	premarked	50:6,9
	24 59:19,	policy	21:6	printed
perjury	25 60:5,6	11:19	28:11	28:18
42:8 56:3	61:5,14	12:7,11	2011	
person	64:15,19	7	preparation	30:1 31:5
17:13	plaintiff's	portal	7:3 8:13,	32:4,5,8,
22:1 27:2	5:22	7:19 18:5	17,20	24 33:9,
29:24	10:3,7,11	portion	35:21	15
	16:9,14	56:23	49:9	printouts
37:19	17:17			29:8
50:18,25	19:10	position	present	
51:3,5	21:3,6	7:2 65:5	4:14	prior
photocopy	22:4 23:7	possessed	presented	8:15
11:9		56:23	58:10	12:25
	24:8,11,		59:3	13:8
physical	16 26:4,7	possession	63:3,5,8	18:16,17
47:8	27:7	45:11		29:25
48:9,16	28:8,11	48:9,16,	preservatio	37:25
49:13	29:6	18,25	n	43:5
50:19	36:22,25	49:13	39:12,16	44:10
58:9	37:23	50:19,25	pretty	47:25
61:7,21	54:3	52:7 54:5	35:3	
62:3,8	58:14,15	58:9,18,	33.3	procedures
63:2,19	61:16	20 59:2	prevail	12:8
64:1,21	65:5,12	60:1,3	58:20	proceed
	~1~+f~~~	61:7,21	previous	4:5,18
physically	<pre>platform 7:25</pre>	62:4,8,19	14:2	5:15,25
47:10	7 • 45	63:2,4,6,	14.2	9:11
56:23	platforms	7,19	previously	
place	7:17	64:1,21	6:15 8:19	proceeding
8:16		04.1,21	38:16	4:1 5:12
11:19	pleadings	practice	41:3	41:19
12:13	40:5 41:2	16:24	44:13	66:11
27:15	43:3	21:15	47:5	process
52:1	52:20	26:16	54:17	process
J 4 • T	point	37:8		12:24
plaintiff	5:4 12:15	47:17	price	13:11
5:3,20	5.1 12.15		22:13	50:12



US BAINK VS ES	SLATI		inc	iex: producereiei
produce	provide	27:14	44:21	27:1,12
63:22	7:11		58:3	28:11,20
	23:25	<pre>purposes 26:7</pre>		30:23
produced 30:23	50:4		readily 29:23	31:14
		36:25	29.23	32:14,20,
31:14,20	provided	37:25	ready	25 37:1,
33:6	14:2	pursuant	4:4,17	5,9,12,
35:19	33:15	38:2	reasons	14,18,25
42:5	35:2 38:4		41:10	43:18
produces	41:18		41.10	44:12
18:1	42:6	Q	recall	46:11,14,
	44:13		15:2	16 51:4,
promissory	51:24	quality	40:15,17	5,6
40:4,6	pull	13:11	receive	57:11,14
42:11	29:16	question	35:4,6	59 <b>:</b> 9
44:24,25		30:19	33.4,0	65:24
45:22	purchase		received	66:4
47:9	21:8	42:17	8:3 11:21	
proper	22:13,24	49:21	12:5	recorded
12:13	48:15	51:10,21	27:18	17:10
48:13	50:20	52:13	32:10	21:23
	52:1,2	53:3,8	34:1,4,5,	23:13,16,
properly	58:15	questions	7 35:2	17 26:24
29:19,22	59:21	11:25	60:9	37:16
property	purchased	39:20,21	61:1,16	45:5
23:22	12:14	40:3	receiving	records
32:11	13:22	46:18	12:25	7:3,8 8:5
34:24	20:7,8,21	53:20	13:8	15:9,11
39:12,16	48:8,11,	54:15	13.8	17:3
60:11	25 51:25	quick	recess	26:20
	56:11	<del>-</del>	64:10,11	28:16,17
proposed	61:6	9:6 31:23	record	32:3,14
29:6		43:15	7:14,17	34:10
65:25	purchasing	quickly	10:7	35:10
prove	12:12	19:22	11:4,5,8	40:22
5:20	21:9	45:22	15:16,22	47:19
55:17	22:10		16:21,25	49:5,8,
58:21,24	purge		17:6,8,12	10,19
64:19,21	29:14	R	18:21,23	51:2
proved	33:8		19:2,6,7,	52:20
60:6		raise	19.2,6,7,	53:14
00.0	purport	4:21	16,19,21,	
proven	10:22	RE-DIRECT	25 22:6	redirect
59:5,25	purportedly	54:1		53:22
60:9	63:24	フェ・エ	23:12	refer
61:20		read	24:12	45:15
	purpose	41:15	26:8,11,	13 - 13
	22:9		13,17	



reference	25:10	responsibil	Ryan	64:1
29:15	remember	ities	41:6	seat
45:1	47:1	17:5		6:3
referenced		responsibil	s	
30:3 47:5	renew	ity		seated
	38:1	17:9	_	4:4,25
references	60:17,21	21:22	saving	secure
32:17	repeatedly	26:23	7:5	33:2
48:12	57:16	37:15	scan	
referencing			50:9	seeking
45:7	reporter	rests		37:4
	6:7	54:16	scanned	39:18
referred	20:13,15,	review	12:16,17,	send
38:9	18 25:3,	5:11 7:3	18,21,23,	47:12
reflect	19 35:15	8:17,25	25 13:5,	65:8,9
11:5 15:9	47:22	12:23	7,10,13,	
40:25	representat	28:16	14,19	September
66:4	ive		14:11,12,	32:6
00.4		34:13	16,24	33:16
reflected	6:24	35:9	15:10,17,	series
32:11	requested	40:5,8	20 16:6	30:20
	31:18	41:2	49:16	30.20
regard	47:5	43:3,6,16	50:1,3,7	service
15:24		45:22	30 1/3/	7:19
53:3	required	47:18	scans	18:12
regular	38:4	52:19	13:1	29:19,22
16:24	requires	reviewed	scope	30:25
21:15	60:17	8:18,21	41:20	31:20
26:16			41.20	
30:6 37:8	research	11:12,15	screen	servicer
60:8	17:2,6	13:25	15:19	45:11
00.0	21:18	18:7	16:15	54:12
regularly	26:20	64:16	17:16	63:7,12,
8:5 30:17	37:11	reviewing	18:1,7	13
related	reservation	33:23	19:11,13	servicing
8:24	66:1		22:17	7:17
0.24	00.1	rights	26:9 31:1	13:15
relating	resolved	50:21	36:1	
12:11	5:14	56:4	49:11,15,	16:18
rolowant	rogpostivo	ruled	23 50:1,	31:23
relevant 43:9	respective 22:21	38:7	13,15	33:1,5
43.9	∠∠・∠⊥	65:15	51:4,7,12	set
relied	respond		56:10	7:23
58:1	61:25	ruling	58:14	41:17
		38:7		57:8
remained	Response	run	59:21	
41:23	35:13	run	61:2,5	shed
		29:11	62:3,22	47:14
remedies			63:18,24	1, - 11



US BAINK VS ES	LANI			index: sneetsum
sheet	showing	53:9	15:2	44:23,24
21:8	16:13	62:12	45:20	53:4 56:3
shot	24:10	sitting	stand	statistical
16:15	26:6 28:5	15:21	4:21	38:5
17:16	35:16		15:21	30.5
18:2,7	36:24	sixth		statute
19:11,13	58:9,17,	62:22	standing	38:4
22:17	19 59:1,	small	5:21	stays
26:9	2,22 61:2	31:5	54:24	51:5,6
49:11,15,	63:18,25	e	55:17,18	Gb
23 50:1	shown	<pre>smaller 31:25</pre>	57:4,19,	Stern 52:8
51:4	15:19	31.25	21 58:21,	56:14
58:14	41:10	So.3d	22 59:5,	50.14
59:21		55:7	25 60:1	Stern's
61:2,6	shows	speak	64:20	47:15
62:3	20:21,24	36:3	65:5	stricken
63:18,24	28:2		start	15:24
64:1	34:19	specific	61:12	
ab at a	49:12,23	49:4	started	submit
<b>shots</b> 15:19	50:24 62:3,8,	specificall	15:7	15:25
36:1	13,19	У	33:12	65:25
51:12	63:2,19	12:10	55.12	submitted
31.12	64:1	47:11	starts	64:15
show	04.1	48:1	20:3	ab
5:20	side	53:10	state	subpoena 4:16
14:15	5:1	speculating	45:9,13	4.10
15:20	signed	18:15	46:10	subsequent
27:17	42:8	52:14	48:1	34:18
28:17	45:18	52.14		substantial
33:16		speculation	<b>stated</b> 3 <b>4:</b> 15	53:12
36:4 41:2	significant	48:24	34.15	61:21
48:7	35:7	49:17	statement	
49:16	similar	52:11	5:1 30:21	substantiat
50:2,8,9	7:23	53:7	32:2	e
54:3	simple	speculation	statements	15:23
58:12	61:5	s	4:23	sufficient
62:5,11		44:21		33:19
63:5	simply		states	64:5
65:12	5:20	spell	20:7,11	suit
showed	61:20	6:6	22:11	48:5,17,
13:19	sir	squarely	30:24	19 49:14
47:25	4:16 6:3	55:7	45:2,7	53:5
53:4	43:1	stack	48:12,19	56:13
58:17	44:16	35:3	56:24	20.12
61:15	46:19	55.5	stating	sum
	52:12	stamp	43:16	35:7



09 BAINK VS E9	LANI		index. su	immanes rorrens
summaries	30:5,11,	61:10	tied	39:18
35:5,7	16,17,23	62:6,8	30:7,12	41:17
36:1 38:5	31:14,15,		32:17	43:5 48:6
42:6	18 32:23,	testify		49:6,8
	24 33:4,8	13:12	time	51:3
summarize	50:1,3	15:1	4:20 7:6	55:19
45:2	51:12,14	42:10	13:2,19	56:18,22
summary	52:2 61:3	48:16,18,	14:8,10	58:1,19,
5:8 38:3		20,24	15:2	22,23
41:8	systems	49:5	17:15	59:20
44:20	7:15,18,	testifying	18:6,11,	60:4,12
46:5,16	24 8:1,3,	15:16,17,	14 20:5	63:8
10.2,10	6 29:8,11	21 43:19	21:1 22:3	03.0
supplement		49:13	25:8	top
32:8	_	52:6	27:4,16,	32:8
support	<b>T</b>	52.0	22 30:1	Потого п
41:8		testimony	31:19	Torrens
44:19	tab	4:23 7:12	32:4	4:3,8,11,
	30:13	12:9	37:21	15 5:16,
46:4,15	4-1-i	15:23	39:20	19 9:6,
sustain	taking	44:10	45:13	13,18,22,
16:2	27:15	49:7,9	48:11	25 10:13
	64:9	52:10,21	50:17,25	11:4,24
swear	talking	56:18,21	52:2 54:9	12:3
4:22	31:3	57:9	55:11	14:18,22
sworn	48:9,14,	58:10	57:4	15:15
6:15	15	59:2 60:2	58:21	17:19,24
56:2,20		63:2	59:25	19:1,4
64:2	taxes	64:14		22:5
	32:10	04.14	61:22	24:3,6
system	34:24	thick	64:20,22,	27:11
7:20,22,	36:5	35:3	24	28:24
23 11:13,	39:4,6	thing	times	29:4
16 12:16,	53:13,17	9:18	12:21	31:8,10
17,19	60:10		13:15	33:18
13:2,5,6,	tendered	31:22	18:8	34:25
9,13,20	56:9	41:25	35:19	36:7,20
14:5,12,	50.9	things	41:24	37:24
15,24	testified	15:16,22		38:11,15
15:2,18	6:16	19:5	title	39:21
16:15	12:4,7	64:23	6:23	40:2
17:25	14:23		65:18	41:5,7,
18:4,5,9,	18:20	thought	today	13,22
10,24	38:16	9:23	4:23 5:12	42:13,18,
20:3	41:1	13:18	8:17	20,23
28:15	46:19	thousands	15:11,19	
29:14,18,	49:6	29:20	22:22	43:1,10,
21,23,24	54:4,17	-	38:24	13,15,21
	,		30.44	44:4,7,22



DO DA WALL VO EO	_/ \( \)			mack. totalyear.
46:8,14,	trials	58:9,13,	28:15,19	15:5,7
18 49:18,	7:4	20 59:2,4	50:7	18:11
25 51:19		60:3,9,12		46:20,22
52:5,16	truth	63:3,7,9,	viewed	61:12
53:2,11,	4:23	13 64:24	12:21	
20 54:18,	two-count		18:8,10	workstation
20,23	5:4	Uh-huh	viewing	30:25
55:4		62:1	12:22	31:21
59:8,12,	type	unchanged	32:22,23	worse
15,18	20:6	41:23		56:19
60:14	61:15		voir	
62:23,25		undated	12:2	
63:17	υ	57:5,9	14:21	Y
64:8		understand	17:23	
65:7,11,		20:19	28:25	year
18,20,24	U.S.	44:17	29:3	8:14
66:4,7,10	4:5 6:20,	49:20		
00.4,7,10	21 7:8,		W	years
total	15,18 8:5	understandi		8:13
39:17,19	11:19,21	ng	_	18:18
totaled	12:5	40:11	wanted	44:9
39:6	13:20,22	65:21	5:3 11:8	46:23
39.0	14:12	underwritin	19:4	55:23
trained	15:5,7	g	63:15	56:8
17:2	16:22,24	12:24	66:4	57:11
21:18	18:21		whatsoever	61:13
26:19	19:2	unendorsed	57:9 61:7	63:22
37:11	20:21,25	55:15		
training	21:8,13,	up-to-date	wishes	
8:3 26:19	15 22:5	33:17	5:11	
	24:22	13-3	withdraw	
transferred	26:14,16	uploaded	19:1	
63:5	27:12	51:13	33:19	
transmittal	28:21,23	61:3		
12:22	30:6,17,		witness's	
	22,24	v	60:2	
transmitted	32:14,20,		witnesses	
17:13	23 33:3		54:19,21	
22:1 27:2	37:6,8	verbatim	59:14	
37:19	39:13	44:21		
transpired	42:8,9	verified	work	
8:19	43:2,16	51:1	6:19	
	44:23	TTO WOLL C	61:18	
trial	45:3	versus	worked	
		4:6 55:6	6:21	
38:2	46:20		0 • 2 1	
41:15,17,	46:20 53:4	view		
		<b>view</b> 15:4	working 8:15	

